REF ID:A39955

SECRET CONFIDENT A L RESTRICTED 22 Mar 48 DATE TO FROM TO FROM X Chief, ASA $(10)_{-}$ Tech Staff (96)Executive O Ch. Security Div (80) (11)Tech Staff Co'r Joint Oper $(12)_{.}$ (81) Deputy Chief, ASA Ch. Materiel Br (82) $(20)_{-}$ Ch, Comm Res Sec (14) X Ch, Methods Br (83)Ch. Hist Unit (13)Ch. Protective Br (84)Ch, Maint Br Ch. Pers Sec (21)(85) Ch, Org & Tng Sec (22)Ch, Res Lab Div (70) Ch, Plans & Oper (23)Tech Staff (71)Ch, Materiel Sec Ch, Ch Ciph & Cif Br(72)_ (24)(25)Ch. Int Equip Br Ch. Fiscal Sec (73)____ Adjutant, ASA (26)Ch, Elec & Elec Br (74) $(75)_{-}$ Ch. Lab Serv Br Ch, Sec Cont Sec (27)__ Ch, Operations Div Ch, C'logic Br (90)(76) ___ Ch, Lab Br (91)Ch. Pers & Tng Br (61)__ ___ Ch, Machine Br Ch, Supply Br (62)(92)Co, Arlington Hall $(40)_{-}$ Ch, Crypt Br (93)Ch, Int Cont Br (94)Ch, I & D Br (95)) Information & File) Approval & Return) As Requested) Recommendation) Concurrence or Comments) Signature if approved) Your action by) Information & Forwarding) Information & Return) Info upon which to base reply Almost a year ago, when this question was reopened, I prepared a memo to AS-23, proposing a very generally, rather than a specifically, worded Act. I & S Subcommittee considered and rejected my idea and decided to go ahead with S. 1019, modifying it. The version we now propose to try is the lattst

REF ID: A39955

attempt.

- 2. I have studied Capt. Finnegan's memo to USCICC and more than ever am convinced we are going at this the wrong way--and one that can be very risky. On the other hand, a bill of a very general nature would especially now have a better chance of acceptance, with no risk whatever to Sigint.
- 3. I therefore send you herewith my original memo of 30 July 47 to AS-23, with the draft I elaborated upon the basis of a very general Act. I urge your serious consideration of my proposal.

W.F.F.