REF ID: A71137

In considering the reliability of cipher machines, we find that modern engineering and cryptographic techniques have accomplished this to a satisfactory degree. Although the machines are complex, their reliability can be assured by having properly trained personnel to operate and maintain them. Accuracy is also one of the elements of reliability and a good cipher machine can yield a very highest degree of accuracy as completeness of text, then can a code the take the case of a code the case of system. A mistake in one or two code groups, may obscure, alter, or render unintelligible the meaning of the whole message, but in

the all

cipher systems, of wrong letters may be corrected, or missing

letters supplied. The use of the wrong key or wrong "setting"

whole

may, of course, prevent the decipherment of a message or a whole part, we are the massage or a whole part, which decipher the let's now consider the security angle. If reliability were

the only or the most important factor, code would be preferable to cipher for all echelons of command because the simplicity of a code book is to be preferred to the complexity of a large cipher However, unenciphered code is not sufficiently secure for the communications of the highest echelon and headquarters, and when a second step of encipherment is added, it practically destroys the simplicity features of a code. In a properly designed cipher machine, embodying sound cryptographic principles, the single

Declassified and approved for release by NSA on 05-28-2014, pursuant to E.O. 13526

REF ID: A71137

step encipherment process can yield cryptograms of very great security. In a good code system, however, the solution of one or even of several messages does not entail the immediate breakdown of the entire system, with the consequent ability to read all messages, as is usually the case in a cipher system. A good cipher system may be compared to a library housed in a large structure of many rooms with all doors and all windows securely locked. If an intruder can force an entry into the structure, he will find a master key which will open all the locks and give him access to all the books in the library. A good code system (especially a two-part code) may be compared to a library housed in a similar structure, but no two locks are alike and no master key is available or can be made. Therefore, the lock on each door must be worked at patiently as a separate problem. Thus, although the intruder may force his way into one room, this gives him access to only a small part of the library; in order to read all the books, he must force his way into each room, which takes much time, since each lock presents a separate and special problem. Code books, of course, can be rendered useless by compromise. Actual possession for a long period of time is not necessary; methods of rapid photography may be applied and a book of several hundred pages copied in a few minutes.

REF ID: A71137 TOP SECRET ULTRA

As regards rapidity, the speed of operation of a cipher machine leaves even simple unenciphered code far behind in the matter of rapidity.

In the matter of flexibility, we find that a cipher machine is much more flexible than a code and can be used for all sorts of messages. In a code containing words, phrases, and sentences prepared for a specific type of communication, rewording the original text as written by the originator is often necessary, if the words, phrases, and sentences in the code book are to be used; otherwise, the original wording must be encoded word by word, or even syllable by syllable.

Finally, with respect to economy, whether expressed in terms of money or manpower, cipher systems are more economical than code systems for high and intermediate echelon communications. A single clerk operating a rapid cipher machine can turn out 10 to 15 times as much work as one operating a code system; furthermore, codes must be prepared, printed and distributed--steps which take much time and labor to effect.

It's clear that high-grade and medium-grade systems should include all or as many as possible of the five factors discussed