DEELR

起0 3.3(h)(2) PL 86-36/50 USC 3605

112TH	USCIB	MEETIK

ome.

	OUND	

7747	T ADOTO MENTINA	<u> </u>	
Item	3 COMINT Relations wi		
BACKE	GROUND		
	1. USCIB 29.17/10 circulated a U.K. heir initial negotiations with cerence (July 1954) on		
clari of a infor prec! with USCII for p	2. The U.K. report was generally active prepared to endorse the U.K. arrification was obtained on two points (U.S. guarantee to supply modern COMING med LSIB (USCIB 29.17/11) that we would be required before make regard to the ELINT aspects of the rest as not at present in a position to provision of modern ELINT equipment to the approaches to by U.S. authority	ingements with SCIB 29.17/12 intercept equal to have ing a definite cort, LSIB was malorse the arr	provided). On the matter uipment, USCIB a some idea of a commitment. informed that rangements, either
CURR	ENT CONSIDERATION		
Furni	3. USCIB 29.17/13 circulates the Bring forth in some detail the equipment ishing this equipment to the vortelease by the British of	requirements)	placed on the U.S.
	4. The equipment requested is as for	Llows	
-	a. AM/AFR9 Receivers with spar- itity ten initially to be followed by a er date, probably SIRs.		

- b. SKL Chain Amplifiers TV 52 with spares for three years operation. Quantity eight.
- c. Signal Generators with spares for three years operation, as follows: -

TS 419/V	900-2100 Mc/s	Quantity two
TS 403/U	1800-4000 Mc/s	Quantity two
78 621/U	3650-7300 Mc/s	Quantity two
75 622/U	6800-10,900 Mc/s	Quantity two
TS 186/UP	Quantity two	, ,

- d. May Sonagraph with spares for three years operation. Quantity
 - a. Clarke VHF receivers as modified by MSA. Quantity two.
 - f. SCR 291 HF D/F equipments. Quantity two.

Mote: Item T. Is first Driority).

(CAC)	BU/OTH
* 5.5	

112TH USCIB MEETING

EO 3.3(h)(2) PL 86-36/50 USC 3605

Item 3 (cont'd)

5. The U.K. negotiators believe that the finencial value of this
equipment may be taken as representing the maximum request which the
are likely to make annually in return for agreement to the release of
auterial to the U.S.
MEDITAL OD ONE 0.0:
6. The British point out that requirements for equipment from both U.K. and American sources are, and will contine to be exitteelly
The body of the first approach to the first of monached by the strip culty
examined by U.K. experts in the light of current knowledge of
sempetence in the technical and operational fields. This examination is
made with the object of insuring the most efficient use of the equipment
provided and encouraging balanced progress in interception. USCIB's
emments are invited.
7. On 4 Movember 1954 the Director, MSA motified the members of USCIB
(MEA Serial 900479-5) that he considered the conditions imposed by the
for release of their materials to the U.S. acceptable insofar as
they apply to COMINT. He pointed out that the guarantee of U.S. support
in supplying intercept aquipment through the U.K. is anniogous to the
enterentee to that in return for permission to
to the U.K., the U.S. will provide U.K.
th the same nave, general Canine informed Director, GCHQ, of
bis. views.
N. Proceeding was to be a first the first of the contract of t
8. Coordination with Mr. Firby (MSA-60) indicates that the equipment
requested by the and this total
requested by the and this total and this total and this securit furnished
requested by the and this total
requested by the and this total and this total and this securit furnished
requested by the and this total
###@uested by the and this total ###################################
##@dested by the same and this total presumably would be on an annual basis. Equipment in this amount furnished annually to the would be in return for to the U.S. through U.K. 9. PROD believes that the list of equipment requested should be examined excefully because some of it almost surely would be used for
######################################
##################################
######################################
######################################
######################################
######################################
presumably would be on an annual basis. Equipment in this assumt furnished annually to the would be in return for to the U.S. through U.K. 9. PROD believes that the list of equipment requested should be annually because some of it almost surely would be used for MINT purposes. The ANAPR9, for example, is a UHF receiver and is designed primarily for MINT. It can be used and, in fact, is used for committee intercept by the U.S. The question, however, is: how would the use it? PROD believes that neither USCIB nor the Director, MSA should be placed in the position of offering MINT quid pro que for COMINT.
######################################
presumably would be on an annual basis. Equipment in this amount furnished annually to the would be in return for to the U.S. through U.K. 9. PROD believes that the list of equipment requested should be manined carefully because some of it almost surely would be used for MINT purposes. The AM/APR9, for example, is a UHF receiver and is designed primarily for MINT. It can be used and, in fact, is used for CONTRY intercept by the U.S. The question, however, is: how would the use it? PROD believes that neither USCIB nor the Director, MSA should be placed in the position of offering MINT quid pro quo for CONTRY. 10. Consideration should also be given to comparing the cost of this pegument with the financial outlay which we are making to the In the latter case, the initial cost of the station is \$150,000 and the
######################################
presumably would be on an annual basis. Equipment in this amount furnished annually to the would be in return for to the U.S. through U.K. 9. PROD believes that the list of equipment requested should be examined carefully because some of it almost surely would be used for MINIT purposes. The AM/APR9, for example, is a UHF receiver and is designed primarily for MINIT. It can be used and, in fact, is used for COMINT intercept by the U.S. The question, however, is: how would the use it? PROD believes that meither USCIB nor the Director, MSA should be placed in the position of offering MINIT quid pro quo for COMINT. 10. Consideration should also be given to comparing the cost of this seguest with the financial outlay which we are making to the In the latter case, the initial cost of the station is \$150,000 and the sost cace it is established will run to about \$100,000 annually.
presumably would be on an annual basis. Equipment in this amount furnished annually to the would be in return for to the U.S. through U.K. 9. PROD believes that the list of equipment requested should be examined carefully because some of it almost surely would be used for MINT purposes. The AM/APR9, for example, is a UHF receiver and is designed primarily for MINT. It can be used and, in fact, is used for comint intercept by the U.S. The question, however, is: how would the use it? PROD believes that neither USCIB nor the Director, MSA should be placed in the position of offering MINT quid pro quo for COMINT. 10. Consideration should also be given to comparing the gost of this seguest with the financial outlay which we are making to the In the latter case, the initial cost of the station is \$150,000 and the gost once it is established will run to about \$100,000 annually. 11. If it is decided that we can go shead and provide this equipment
presumably would be on an annual basis. Equipment in this amount furnished annually to the would be in return for to the U.S. through U.K. 9. PROD believes that the list of equipment requested should be examined executly because some of it almost surely would be used for MINT purposes. The AM/APR9, for example, is a UHF receiver and is designed primarily for MINT. It can be used and, in fact, is used for comint intercept by the U.S. The question, however, is: how would the use it? PROD believes that neither USCIB nor the Director, MSA should be placed in the position of offering MINT quid pro quo for COMINT. 10. Consideration should also be given to comparing the cost of this regions with the financial outlay which we are making to the In the latter case, the initial cost of the station is \$150,000 and the cost case it is established will run to about \$100,000 annually. 11. If it is decided that we can go shead and provide this equipment for the provide that we are making to the station in \$150,000 and the cost case it is established will run to about \$100,000 annually.
requested by the presumably would be on an annual basis. Equipment in this amount furnished annually to the would be in return for to the U.S. through U.K. 9. PROD believes that the list of equipment requested should be examined carefully because some of it almost surely would be used for MINT purposes. The AM/AFR9, for example, is a UHF receiver and is designed primarily for MINT. It can be used and, in fact, is used for COMINT intercept by the U.S. The question, however, is: how would the use it? PROD believes that neither USCIB nor the Director, MSA should be placed in the position of offering MINT quid pro quo for COMINT. 10. Consideration should also be given to comparing the nest of this sequent with the financial outlay which we are making to the In the latter case, the initial cost of the station is \$150,000 and the sost once it is established will run to about \$100,000 annually. 11. If it is decided that we can go shead and provide this equipment for the we should make it elser to the British that, while we are granting the request, we should like assurances that the equipment is to
presumably would be on an annual basis. Equipment in this amount furnished annually to the would be in return for to the U.S. through U.K. 9. PROD believes that the list of equipment requested should be examined executly because some of it almost surely would be used for MINT purposes. The AM/APR9, for example, is a UHF receiver and is designed primarily for MINT. It can be used and, in fact, is used for comint intercept by the U.S. The question, however, is: how would the use it? PROD believes that neither USCIB nor the Director, MSA should be placed in the position of offering MINT quid pro quo for COMINT. 10. Consideration should also be given to comparing the cost of this regions with the financial outlay which we are making to the In the latter case, the initial cost of the station is \$150,000 and the cost case it is established will run to about \$100,000 annually. 11. If it is decided that we can go shead and provide this equipment for the provide that we are making to the station in \$150,000 and the cost case it is established will run to about \$100,000 annually.

commitment for the future.

		· ·	EO 3.3(h)(2)
TOP GEORET) Pa	PL 00-30/30 USC 3003
11271 USCIB MESTI	D		
Item 3 (cont'4)			
	the AW/APR9's. We have sing for ten equipment		
British that this should be pointed	equipment is furnish does not constitute a out that subsequent r rits and in light of t	agemitment for the equests will be ex	be future. It
EECONNETION TON			
the British for for provise that it be	recommended that the r www.rding through ddmi s used only for COMINI furnishing this equi	ralty to the	with the ionally, it should

(Pleas 3 of Agencia for 112th 55012)

BATTERN BYATTER

SPARTITE.	TIPE	UNIT COST	SERVICET COSE	3 TES 字模器	TOTAL.
20	AR/APR 9	\$ 5,500.	\$110,000	₹33,000	\$143,000
8	SKL Chain Amplifier TV-52	200.	1,600	480	2,060
	Signal Constators:				
2	75-419/0	1,,200,	2,400	720	3,120
2	T8-403/V	1,500.	3,000	900	3,900
2	T9-621/V	2,200,	4,400	1,320	5,720
2	T3-622/T	2,200.	4,400	1,320	5,720
2	TS-186/UP	1,000.	2,000	600	2,600
1	Kay Sormgraph	1,,995.	1,995	200	2,195
2	Clarks VHP Beneivers (16701)	700.	1,400	<i>5</i> 00	1,,900
2	SCR-291 HF D/F Memipment	11,264.	22,528	2,252	24,780 \$195,015