

ARMED FORCES SECURITY AGENCY
ROUTING SLIP

REF ID: A66747

SUSPENSE DATE:

28 DEC 1952

24 JAN 53

FROM: JAG, DoArmy

TO: DirNSA 302L

SUBJECT: H R 5728" for the Relief of William F Friedman"

CLASSIFICATION: 26C-C 1957

BRIEF OF CORRESPONDENCE (Include Listing of Incls.)

DATE:			FILE OR SERIAL NO:		REG. NO:	NO. OF COPIES:	DATE RECEIVED:		
18 Dec 52			JAGO		none	Orig&l	19 Dec 52		
INGOING			TO	OUTGOING			IN	FOR	OUT
routing	initial	date		routing	initial	date			
			DIR	2			2	Action	
			DDI					Comment	
			DES					Concurrence	
1		DEC 2 1952	C/S	1	JAN 8 1953	1-2		Information	
			D C/S					Initial	1/2/AS
			Cons	2	JAN 9 1953			Signature	3/4
			Insp					Dispatch	5
			Comp					File	1953
3			AG		JAN 5 1953			Retain copy	
			P/P						
			Comm						
			Tng						
			Pers						
			Sec						
			Log						
			Opns						
2		12	R/D	1	JAN 1-8				
			C/Sec						
			Sch						

ACTION PREPARED BY:
Name: Henry B. Stauffer
Ext: 293

TYPE OF ACTION:
Interim Reply.....
Final Reply.....
Initial Letter.....
To: JAG/D/A
Serial: 888 JAN 1953

REMARKS:

(Continue on reverse)

REFERENCE MATERIAL:

NOTE: If disposition has been incorrectly indicated promptly notify the AG, Ext 60219

RETURN THIS SLIP AND ALL PAPERS TO THE AG UNLESS OTHER DISPOSITION INDICATED
AFSA Form 1407 22 Sep 52 (Supersedes AFSA Forms 12, 149, and 173)

ROUTER: [Signature] Date: 19 Dec 10472

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
Office of the Judge Advocate General
Washington 25, D. C.

DEC 18 1952

JAGO

SUBJECT: H.R. 5728 "For The Relief of William F. Friedman."

TO: National Security Agency
Washington 25, D. C.
ATTN: 302L

1. This letter is written pursuant to telephone conversation of 17 December 1952 between Mr. H. B. Stauffer of your office, and Lt. Baker of this office, with respect to obtaining answers to certain questions raised by the Bureau of the Budget relative to the recommendation made by the Department of the Army on subject bill. In that conversation two questions from the Bureau of Budget memorandum were presented to you and are as follows:

"1. Were government time and materials and the aid of other government employees used by the claimant in developing his inventions?

"2. Although not directed to invent, were the claimant's inventions 'directly related to his Federal employment'?"

2. A complete review of the files in this office dealing with the above subject fails to reveal complete answers to the noted questions. There is some evidence bearing on question No. 2; however, your opinion, together with pertinent facts in support thereof, is desired.

3. Other questions raised by the Bureau of the Budget bear on the relationship of subject bill to laws resulting from other bills supported by the Department of Defense, answers to which may be found in files of this office.

4. Under the circumstances of this case, it will be appreciated if the desired report on the above questions is furnished at the earliest practicable date.

FOR THE JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL:

/s/ George F. Westerman
GEORGE F. WESTERMAN
Major, JAGC
Chief, Patents Division

COPY