solving, 436

solving, 436

be decided

we decided

we decided

we decided

we decided

IN THE UNITED STATES PATERT OFFICE

In re application of William F. Friedman Filed July 25, 1935, Serial No. 682,096, Oryptographic System

Div. 55, Room 6897

April 14, 1936.

APPEAL PROM THE PRINCIPAL HXAMINE

TO THE BOARD OF APPRAIS

Hon. Commissioner of Patents,

Washington, D.C.

Sir:

I hereby appeal to the Board of Appeals from the decision of the Principal Examiner in the matter of my application for Letters

Patents for Cryptographic System, filed July 25, 1935, Serial No.

682,096, which was finally rejected October 19, 1935.

The following are the points of the decision on which the Appeal is taken :

- 1. The Examiner erred in finally rejecting claims 25 to 34, inclusive, on the ground that they do not regite a patentable method but are for the obvious and intended function or use of the apparatus.
- The Examiner erred in finally rejecting said claims
 to 34 as failing to define clearly.
- 3. The Framiner erred in finally rejecting said claims because of the inclusion therein of a recital of structure.
- 4. The Examiner erred in finally rejecting said claims on the ground that no changes of character or condition are effected by the practice of the method.

Approved for Release by NSA on 09-13-2013 pursuant to E.O. 13526

5. The Examiner erred in refusing to pass the said application to issue as to said group of method claims.

Respectfully submitted,

William F. Friedman

My :

Attorneys