REF ID: A521685

C O P Y

April 9, 1936

Memorandum For Major Walter C. Ellis (Room 3431) R & D Div., OCSigO

Subject: Modification in Converter Type M-134-T2 Improvement in Prior Patent Application Serial No. 682,096 Invention of Mr. Wm. F. Friedman

- 1. Reference your memo. of 4-5-36 and Mr. Friedman's specification and drawing submitted with his memo. of 3-23-36.
- 2. This is an improvement on the subject-matter of the above application, Ser. No. 682,096, which application has gone through an extended prosecution before the United States Patent Office with the result that the subject-matter has been found patentable and a considerable number of claims have been allowed.
- 3. Since the prior art has been quite fully developed in connection with the prosecution of the said application, Serial No. 682,096, it can be stated with reasonable assurance that the <u>latent improvement appears to be patentable</u> and a new patent application should be filed in the Patent Office to protect the improvement. In view of the relation of the improvement to the prior application, the new patent application should be filed with reasonable promptness.

All papers returned.

Charles A. Rowe, Patents Section, Signal Corps.

C O P

April 9, 1936

Memorandum For Major Walter C. Ellis (Room 3431) R & D Div., OCSigO

Subject: Modification in Converter Type M-134-T2
Improvement in Prior Patent Application
Serial No. 682,096
Invention of Mr. Nm. F. Friedman

- 1. Reference your memo. of 4-5-36 and Mr. Friedman's specification and drawing submitted with his memo. of 3-23-36.
- 2. This is an improvement on the subject-matter of the above application, Ser. No. 682,096, which application has gone through an extended prosecution before the United States Patent Office with the result that the subject-matter has been found patentable and a considerable number of claims have been allowed.
- 3. Since the prior art has been quite fully developed in connection with the prosecution of the said application, Serial No. 632,096, it can be stated with reasonable assurance that the <u>latent</u> improvement appears to be patentable and a new patent application should be filed in the Patent Office to protect the improvement. In view of the relation of the improvement to the prior application, the new patent application should be filed with reasonable promptness.

All papers returned.

Charles A. Rowe, Patents Section, Signal Corps.