

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY OFFICE OF THE JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL WASHINGTON 25 D C

5 JUL 1961

JATP

SUBJOT: Froposeu all for the Relies of Jr. millian F. Fred Man - Cryptographic Inventions

10: Juneator, Armed Forces Security A eres

- l. eference is rade to the enclosed copies of letter dated l. Marci 195 to denorable bends a Johnson, Secretary of Tefence, from an Henry C. Rischer, attorney for an Milliam ". reledmen of your office, and of "memorandum Concernin, a Bill for the Melief of milliam". Intedment dated al August 1950 from ar. Fischer to Layor James A. Lills, formerly truef of this Division, together than the enclosures thereto.
- 2. By means of the proposed bill, are resoner abult see relief In the sum of \$100,000.00 for Hr. Friedman to compensate br. Friedman in connection with cortain of his inventions in the crypto raphic field which he has made while in the employment of the Government (i.e. the Jamal Corps, the Army Security A cury, and the Armed Forces Security Agency) and as to which inventions, execut for swo nereinarer mentioned. U. S. patent applications were filed. Seven patent applications (c) of which has gone to pateril) are involved, and for inventions on which no applications were filed, as stated. The application which has jone to patent was at one time mader secrecy under Public Lat 700, 1.e. the Act of Cotober 6, 1917, as amended (35 P. S. C. /2). All of the remaining six applications are under the "wiree year rule" (35 %. 5. 6. 37) neferred to, and all except one of these six applications are still the subject of secrecy orders under Public Law 700. In each of the seven cases one dovernment has at least a non-exclusive royalty-free license. Under the provisions of Public Law 700, Mr. Friedran, in connection with the secrecy orders, tendered to the Government for its use the inventions of all seven of the patent applications mentioned, encept Serial Mc. 478,193 and Sérial No. 300,212, which latter resulted in Patent No. 2,395,203. All seven of the applications are listed sereinafter and certain detailed comments made with respect to the individual cases; also the two friedman inventions referred to by the Tischer and upon which patent applications were not filed because of the high mulitary classification of the subject matter.
- 3. Specifically, are rischer requests that no be informed on his riedman's behalf as to whether or not the Department of before has any objection to the introduction of the proposed bill to the Congress on its merits.

- SUBJECT: Proposed Bill for the Relief of Mr. William F. Friedman Gryptographic Inventions
- 4. It is the view of this office that any decision as to wnether or not such a ball should up presented on Mr. Friedman's behalf as a decision which properly should be left to Mr. Friedman and Mr. Fischer to make, and that this office on behalf of the Department of Defense stould so inform Mr. Fischer. At the same time, it should be stated that it is the policy of the Department of Defense not to express its wiews to private individuals with regard to the proposed introduction of legislation, or with regard to the decirability or undesirability of passage of proposed legislation except upon call from a committee of the longress.
- office to ir. Electer's request, efforts will be made on behalf of the friedman telintroduce the bill. Such efforts may well be successful, whereupon the committee to which the oill is faterred will call upon the Department of Defense for its views upon the merits of the mill. Hence it is depend desirable to investigate this matter fully on its merits at this time, and as expeditiously as possible, in order to be prepared to present the views of the Department of Defense on the bill then called upon.
- o. As now importance, this cifice is of the view that any such bill, if introduced, should be opposed as hacking importit in view of all of the care metances of the case, including the nature of the inventions involved, in Priedman's position in the Covernment, the employer employee relationship existing account in Friedman and the Covernment at the time each of the inventions was made, the scope of are Friedman's employment, and the duties assigned to him, one. However, the view just stated is subject, of course, to possible change dependent upon the results of the investigation which it is requested below that your office undertake at this time, and the recommendations of your office based upon the respits of such investigation.
- 7. It is desired that this office be formished at the earliest practicable date with an interior reply to this communication, setting forth your recommendation as to whether a reply should be made by this diffice to Mr. Fischer's letter spectantially as set forth in paragraph, a show, and if not, what reply is recommended.
- your office for the purpose above mentioned and a full report with recommendations made to this office thereon to aid in presenting to the Congress the views of the Department of Defense upon the question as to

Striker: Proposed will for the Relief of the Million & Wriedman Gry tographic inventions.

whether or not it lavors such till as may re introduced. In heary B. Stauffer, parent courses for your office, was present at several conferences with representatives of this office in connection with this watter and is quite familiar as a pany aspects of the situation; including lin. Friedman's inventive activities in the field of cryptography.

- on the proposed bill discussed with him. It will no doubt be necessary, however, to secure factual information from W. Friedman during the course of the investigation.
- before a final decisions will have to be considered and answered before a final decision can be reached as to the views of the bepartnot of before on the serits of the proposed vill. Amon, these
 questions are:
- a. has Friedman accomplished anything of this bituation which warrants further compensation in addition to that he has already received he the form or salary from the interment, the Presidential commendation and other commendations rederved to? In a domewist similar situation the Secretary of Mar disapproved H. A. 126, 77th Congress, Law Session, 1941, A Bill for the Relief of John C. Garand, by letter dated 1 October 1941, no Honorable andrew J. May, Chairman, Committee on Military Mfairs. Garand unsuccessfully sought 100,000.00 relief for his development of the Jarand 1-1 semisatomatic rills.
- Briedman inventions in question instead of a non-explasive license, so that friedman could not properly claim that any on his rights have been violated? This involves the question of the acope of his employment, his assigned outles, etc. at the time the above inventions were made, etc. Jee: United States v. Subilier Condenser Corporation, 209 L. S. 178; Softmans v. United States, 137 L. S. 342; Malzell v. Duebor Lanufacturing Corporaty, 149 T. J. 315; Foughton v. United States, 23 Fed. 380.
- c. That there and are the past, present, and future commercial possibilities of the friedman inventions here involved, in this country and abroad, as the same may affect the question of the damage which friedman maintains he suffered because of the loverment's retention of his inventions in secrecy?

SUMBET: Proposed Bill for the Relief of Jr. William F. Friedun - Orgotographic Enventions

u. What use, if any, was made of friedran's inventions in foreign countries, (governmental and commercial) by reason of which it might be established that he was damaged and could not recover therefor because of the secrecy maintained by the U.S. Jovernment? Is any land-lease use which may have taken place, use for i.J. governmental purposes and, hence, within the scope of the license which the U.S. Government has under each of the Friedman cases? See Opinion of the Comptroller General of the United States, SI USPQ S2, 24 March 1949, in the case of Harry A. Moor.

II. Deference to previous correspondence reveals that the question of ir. Priedman's recovery from the devenment for the contemplated purchase of reversionary rights in his inventions, as covered by his patent application J. F. 441,320, in secrecy, was raised in Correct Mc. I from the Office of the Director of Intelligence dated 29 December 1.41. Such question arose while a policy stated in C-2 memorandum dated 29 April 1346 relative to the parchasin; of reversionary rights of a Covernment employee not specifically directed to invent was in effect, such policy being rescinded by C-2 letter dated 7 July 1950.

12. In reaching conclusions in the present watter it is suggested that emphasis on placed on legal as distinguished from equitable or moral considerations.

13. It is noted that Public Law 700, supra, provides that the Escretary of har or the Jecretary of the Havy may enter into an agreement with an inventor in full settlement and compromise for any damage morning to him by reason of a secrecy order. However, this statute does not specifically provide that the inventor may bring suit to recover for such alleged damage.

The THE JUDGE LAW CATE CONSTANT

Lieutenant colony, J.C.C. Chief, Betents Division

2 Incl

1. Jony Itr 10 Far 50

2. Copy Meno 21 Aug 50 w/Ancls

2 m 21 b

C O P C O P

FISCHER. WILLIS & PANZER

March 10, 1950

Hon. Louis A. Johnson Secretary of Defense Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Sir:

This letter is written on behalf of Mr. William F. Friedman, Director of Communications Research, Defense Security Agency. He is ill and has received permission to retain us to pursue the matter about which this communication is concerned.

Mr. Friedman is responsible individually and in collaboration with others for the invention and development of systems and devices in the field of military communications which have proved to be of the utmost importance to the defense of our country. For his efforts in this direction, he has received the Medal for Merit from President Truman, and also the Commendation for Exceptional Civilian Service from Henry L. Stimson, Secretary of War. Copies of both are attached heretogen

In connection with these inventions, patent applications have been filed, the government allowing Mr. Friedman, alone with respect to some of the applications, and with collaborators with respect to others, to retain commercial and foreign rights. All of these patent applications have been placed in secrecy status; one of the inventions has been in secrecy now for over sixteen years and a second for over thirteen years. It is highly unlikely that any of the inventions will be released from secrecy, at least for a great many years to come.

It has already been indicated that Mr. Friedman is now ill and in the largest measure, his illness is due to the unusually severe strain imposed upon him by the nature of his work. Indeed, it is probable that his earning capacity has been permanently and heavily impaired. In the light of these facts, the question has arisen whether, in equity, an attempt is not warranted to obtain from the Congress an act authorizing the Department of Defense to purchase from Mr. Friedman all the rights he has been allowed to retain in the inventions which have been placed in secrecy status by the government for a token payment that would help in easing the concern he might have about his livelihood in the future, even though such a payment had little relation to the high value we understand such rights might have under different circumstances. There are precedents for such awards in the communications field, even in the absence of any property rights in the inventions.

O P C O P

TO: Hon. Louis A. Johnson March 10, 1950 Page 2 of 2 pages

It has occurred to us that the ordinary procedure in pursuing a matter such as this may not be available in this case because of the delicacy of the subject matter of the inventions involved. In any case, we believe it to be best to discuss the matter with a representative of your office before proceeding further. It is therefore the purpose of this letter to request that you designate a representative to whom we would be authorized to present the matter in full and who in turn would have authority to ascertain and inform us of the disposition of the Department of Defense in that connection.

Because we have no way of knowing whether the Department wishes to treat this request in a manner not routine, we are delivering this communication and attachments by hand to Major General Leven C. Allen in order that we will not be in the position of having embarrassed your decision on that score.

Very truly yours.

Henry G. Fischer