FIRST U.S. ARMY CORPS LEVEL

IT CORPS COIPS /ew.

REF ID: A557761

CRITIQUE ON THE CORPS LEVEL OF THE PIRCT U. S. ARMY

STANANT.

When the Counteroffensive was launched at approximately 0530 on 16 December, the First irmy had three Corps on the line, north to south, the VIIth, the Vth, and VIIITh Corps, each occupying its position since early October. Of these, the northern VII and the central V Corps were pre-occupied with affensives of their own, the former to cepture COLORE and the latter the ROER River desme. On the other hand, the southern VIII Corps occupied a quiet, defensive sector. Please note the positions and sectors' size of the three corps on the Twelfth Army group's S-3 Situation Map of 1,51200 December in the frontispiece.

The Gersan englinght was heaviest against the southern sector occupied by the VII Corps, and decreased northward. All four of the VIII Corps divisions were struck on 16 December, by an identified ten enemy divisions. Mails the V Corps was attacked mainly only on its southern flank, the 99th Division adjacent to the VIII Corps was assaulted by elements of 12 enemy divisions. South to north, the 2nd and 78th Divisions of the V Corps who were sarrying the major burden of the V Corps offensive, were not assaulted on the 16th by infantry forces, the 2d receiving some heavy shelling. Farther north, adjacent to the central V Corps, she VII Corps was not stricken during the day, and continued its own offensive until 21 December, when it was relieved and withdrawn to Belgium for defense.

Lacay Cifensive Carebilliss.

The sorps held the opinion that the enemy regarded his defense of the SIRGFRIED Line and of the AACHEN-OLN corridor to the RUHR as vital. His serious defense was expected, and a continuation of his defense was in general regarded as the primary enemy capability and intention. The Corps expected counterattacks against American penetrations.

when the VIII Corps assumed its sector on 4 October, it estimated that at least for political passons the energy was required to hold the SIEMPRIED Line at all costs, to hold the Allied forces on the Restern front, and that the Line's serious defense was expected. The Corps continued this opinion, and on 9 December regarded the enemy primary ability and intention as the continuation of his current active defense. His practice of giving new divisions experience on the VIII Corps front, then saving then classwhere suggested the enemy's desire that the son remain quiet and inactive. Counterattacks to restore positions and reinforcements from reserves were unexpected unless the enemy falt the VIII Corps was someting an offensive. German forces were considered to be very low in quality.

Adjacent to and north of the defeasive VIII Corps, the V Corps was engaged in offensive action during most of the period 60ctober-15 December, and its attitute towards the enemy's potentialities was measured against the Corps can operations, as reactions to the V Corps drives. According to the Corps description, the enemy strongly and determinedly resisted the V Corps advances, particularly in the SURTOEN Forest and the SCCHMIDT regions. This determined defense was regarded as the current and expected enemy's aspability by the V Corps G-2 Estomate of 6 December. However, prompt counterattanks with available reserves could be expected as a reaction to V Corps penetrations, inasmuch as the German system of defense was though to be based upon immediate counter action to saintain the battle position.

Similar to the central V Corps, the northermost Corps of the First Army, the VIIth, was occupied with an offensive on 16 December, and had been so engaged since D-Day, 6 June. The current drive was from approximately AACHEN to selse ROLM, and had been launched on 16 November. Within a week of operations, the VII Corps decided the energy considered his defense of the AACHEN-COLOGNE corridor as vital to the RUMR, and the Corps G-2 R-timete of 9 December considered the whear's most likely capability to be his continued active defense of his positions. Heavy energy looses had been constatly replaced during the period, and the VII Corps wandered how long the defense could continue in the face of the loss rate and the new desend for troops wrising in the south. This Notinute respected as highly probable a counterattack with elements of the energy's SINTH Panser Army after the Corps reached the east bank of the ROTH River.

lack of Counteroffensive Pridence.

Of the three corps, the VIIIth acquired the only estensible evidence of an impending attack on a major scale. That is, Corps divisons obtained sevem date, but, as noted in the Ferrarding of Infer-cation discussion, the VIII Corps G-2 was notified of only four of those seven data prior to the 16 December attack. Fingle or collectively. these four date were numerically slight upon which to predicate an accurate prediction of a major every counterblow. In scope and reliability of informents, they were likewise weak. Two data concerned increasing energy vehicular activity during the 12-15. December period, but no pattern of action could be derived from the several, separated instances. A civilian Laxerbourg woman turned herself in to a divisional CIC on 14 December, and described some energy build-up in the VIADES-BITSERG area, but her cepturing division declared that she had noted nothing of military significance on her rate travel route. A PV was captured at 1930 on 15 December, who referred to an impending attack, lie said he had arrived on the front on the pravious afternoon, reliaving an unknown unit. which was pulled out and briefed for an attack between 17 December and Christmas, but the PW did not know if the front were to be regimental, divison, or the entire front. As the capturing divison

observed, the PW was seriously wounded, and he was interrogated while under morphiss. His coherence was questiouslie.

Mote is taken that these four data were the only ones of seven which were forwarded to the VIII Corps headquaters by the Corps divisions prior to 16 December. The Corps judgment of the enemy intentions therefore took into account only those four. Actually, two divisions captured three other PW's on the 15th, one woulded, who stated from hearsay or rumor that as large-scale offensive was imminent. Neither these three nor the one repeated to the Corps provided substantive, written information, e.g., official orders and plans. Thus, the four data forwarded to the Corps headquarters were the only attack symptoms presented by the Corps G-2.

In contrast, Corps air reconstscance, when flown on only five days of the 1-15 Pecamber period, observed only minor activity, such as sevenents of two trains and a few vehicles, from which no pattern could be deduced. Furthermore, the enemy's activity was defensive and inserive, limited to patrolling and sporadec artillery, all non-suggestive of aggressive intentions to the VIII Corps.

Unlike its southern VIII Corps neighbor, the V Corps did not possess evidence of the impending attack. Rather, if attack signs existed the V Corps did not recognize them. It possessed he special data rescabling that of the VIII Corps which on its face value second to point to a image-scale energy action. The energy attrong resistance to the V Corps offensive operations prior to 16 December were interpreted as expected reaction. Air reconnaissance did not reveal attack signs. It was negligible due to bed weather, but when flights were flown they did not disclose informative data. A tumlly, air activity was largely support of the offensive ground operations, rather than reconnaissance for information.

Similar to the V Corps, the VII Corps was pre-occupied with its own offensive during the pre-Counteroffensive period, and the enemy's defensive action was the expected reaction, which was not an attack sign. So particular symptoms were noted by the Corps.

Forwarding of Information.

By the Corps. -- The major nedium of the Corps transmission of their intelligence data was through their daily G-2 Periodics. Head of the V and the VIII Corps included the INIMI CAPABILITIES section in their periodics, but entries were regularly No Change. In contrast, the VII Corps' G-2 Periodics did not incorporate even the title of that section, except on 7 December when it quoted from a SHARF Ge2 weekly ISUF.

1-2 Estimates of the Enemy Situation were issued by all three Corps. The VII: The Corps issued #6, on 1 Ocober, during the period in which the Corps assumed its Ardennes position, and

followed with Estimates on 3, 8, 19 Cetober, and 9 December. Those of the 3d and 8th Cetober dealth with counterintelligence in Belgium and Lexembourg. An Estimate was issued by the Y Corps on 6 December, and that of the VII Corps was on the 9th.

In respect to highly significant data, note is taken that only the VIII Corps received information that estensibly indicated an impending najor offensive by the enemy. These were four data received from its divisions during the last few days prior to 16 December. As observed on the Divis on Level, the Corps divisions acquired revends to, but only four were transmitted to the VIII Corps. The VIII's documents confirm that proportion. They we a the two data concerning the increasing enemy traffic, the one pertaining to the build-up information provided by a civilian woman informer, and the claim of an impending attack made by a PW. All four data received by the VIII Corps were promptly reported in its 6-2 Periodic for the day, but there was no evidence that it was forwarded by mescage to the Army as supplementary, expeditions method. One data, however, was reported in the Corps ISIM.

From Other Commands. --- Each of the three Corps received G=2 issuances from higher and adjacent commands. G=2 Periodics was the usual document, particularly in the case of the VIII Corps. First Army Intelligence Summaries were also frequently received. The VII Corps tended to possess copies of recent SMAEF and Traifth Army Group G=2 Ngekly Intelligence Summaries.

Initial Recognition of the Assault's Crevity.

Then the Comptered engine was launched at approximately 0530 on 16 December, the V and VII Corps were engaged in offensives against the NOIR dece and COLOGUE respectively, whereas the VIII Corps occurred a quiet, inactive, defensive front. As a corps the VIII the received the brunt of the assault on the 16th when elements of ten divisions struck it. Movertheless, the 99th Division, the southern flank of the V Corps, and edjacent to the VIII on a the VIII's morth, was the divisional victum of elements of twelve divisions. Thus, of the actually essaulted divisions of the three corps, the four of the VIIIth wereon the defense, but the 99th of the V Corps was sink conducting its con offensive.

So belief was held by the VIII Corps that a major energy compared fensive would be made, and the command was surprised by the assault. Its realization of the attack's scope was not reached until approximately early sorning of the 17th. Its G-2 Periodic of 162400 Decemberinferred that the attack was only a counterattack to restore lost positions. This wire was taken despite the fact that the Gorpa persessed by 2320 hours two copies of the Commander-in-Chief Wast's Order of the Day, 16 December, proclaiming the Counteroffensive to the Terman forces. One copy from the W Gorps was received at 2120.

locarding to the V Cappa G-2 Periodic for 1600014-1624004 December: "The energy experently planned on all out attack against the VIII and V Corps with the sain spearhead directed appoximately

at the boundary between the two corps." The source of this data or the resons for the opinion were not stated. Entirely possible, however, the 7 Corps G-2 derived this opinions from various sources, the unin one being the captured text of the Von Pundetect Order of the Day suno acing the Counteroffencies. This dominant was captured by the 19th Division, and Monard to the V Corpe C-3 at 1350A, who doubtless showed it to the G-2. The Corps G-2 thereby had sev rai hours to consider its meaning. Doring the day, however, the V Corps issuances provided no indication of its comprehension of the enemy's objectives and intentions. Intelligence Surveirles of 0600, 1200, 1900, and 2400 described operations only, without consentary as to their operall significance. Their own effective action and continued throughout the day by the 2d and 73th Divelons, which were not attacked, except for none shelling. On the other hand, the 99th, being notually assaulted. maintoined positions against heavy pressure contined two energ panstrations, received intense artillery and mortar fira, confected local counterattacks to restore organial positions, and scintained contact with the 2d Rivision on its left(north).

In complete contrast to the VIII Copps and partially to that of the Vth, the VII Copps was not attacked by the enemy on the Moth, except for some shelling on two towns and an assault on one of the towns by a sixty man force which was repulsed. The VIIth continued its own offensive until relieved by the IIX Copps at midnight 21 December, whereupon the VIIth ithdraw into Relgium for particip tion in the Counteroffensive.

GRITIQUE ON THE OXYS LATEL OF THE FIRST S. S. AME

Then the Countereffensive was launched at appreximately 0530 on 16 December, the First Army had three Coups on the line, much to south, the VIIth, the Vth, and VIIITh Corps, each equipting the position since early Cotober. Of these, the morthern VII and the central V Corps sere pre-occupied with affectives of their erm, the former to explain COLORN and the latter the BOHR Miver dame. On the citer hand, the southern VIII Corps eccupied a quiet, defengive sector, Flance mote the positions and sectors' size of the three curys on the Iwell's Army Green's G.-3 Situation Pap of 181200 December in the frontispiece.

The German enalidaght was heaviest against the mouthern sector occupied by the VII Carps, and decremend merthrand. All four of the VIII Corps divisions were strugk on 16 December, by an identified the variables. While the V Corps was attacked mainly only on its southern flank, the 99th Division adjacent to the VIII Gerps was and 78th Divisions of 12 energy divisions. South to morth, the 2m2 and 78th Divisions of the V Corps who were marrying the major burden of the V Corps offensive, were not secsuited on the 16th by infantry forces, the 2d receiving some betty shalling. Farther morth, adjacent to the central V Corps, the VII Corps was not stricked during the day, and continued its own offensive until 21 December, when it was relieved and withdrawn to Belgium for defense.

THE PARTY OF THE P

The ecopy held the spinion that the enery regarded his the HUMBE as the SIMPRED Mass and of the MUMBENCH corridor to the HUMB as vital. His serious defense was expected, and a continuation of his defense was in general regarded as the primary enemy espation and intentions. The Scrips expected counteratteris against bility and intentions.

The State William Problem of the space of the compact the bold the SIMPSIME Line at all scate, to hold the Allied Forces on the Mestern Front, and that the Line's acrieus defense was expected. The Sarpy accriment thin apiston, and on 9 Desaphor regarded the continued thin apiston, and on 9 Desaphor regarded the continued thin apiston, and on 9 Desaphor regarded the emergent active defense. His practice of giving new divisions experience on the emergent factor that the pon recein quiet and innofire suggested the energy desired the thir thin son recein quiet and innofire. Commissional to reprove their the VIII Compacents from response are expected unless the season that the VIII Compacents from response an effective. Commissional unless the response to the possible to the compacent that the virial compacent and publicly.

41,2

Adjacent to and north of the defensive VIII Corps, the V Corps was engaged in offensive action during most of the period 60ctober-15 December, and its attitutes towards the enemy's potentialities was measured against the Corps own operations, as reactions to the V Corps drives. According to the Corps description, the enemy strongly and determinedly resisted the V Corps advances, particularly in the HURTCEN Forest and the SCGGMIDT regions. This determined defense was regarded as the current and expected enemy's capability by the V Corps G-2 Estomate of 6 December. However, prompt country attacks with available reserves could be expected as a reaction to V Corps penetrations, inammuch as the German system of defense was though to be based upon immediate counter action to maintain the battle position.

Similar to the central V Gorps, the morthermost Corps of the First Army, the VIIth, was occupied with an offensive on 16 December, and had been so engaged since D-Day, 6 Juns. The current drive was from approximately AACHEN to seles KOLN, and had been launched on 16 Nevester. Fithin a week of operations, the VII Corps decided the enemy considered his defense of the AACHEN-COLOGNE corridor as vital to the RONA, and the Corps 6-2 E timete of 9 December considered the enemy's most likely capability to be his continued active defense of his positions. Heavy enemy losses had been constatly replaced during the period, and the VII Corps wondered how long the defense could continue in the face of the loss rate and the new decemb for troops arising in the south. This Estimate regarded as highly probable a counterattack with elements of the enemy's SIXIM Panser Army after the Corps reached the east bank of the RONA River.

lack of Counteroffensive Evidence.

Of the three corps, the VIIIth acquired the only estensible evidence of an impending attack on a major scale. That is, Corps divisons obtained seven data, but as noted in the Forwarding of Infermation discussion, the VIII Carps 6-2 was notified of only four of those seven data prior to the 16 December attack. Fingle or collectively. there four data were suserfeally slight upon which to predicate an accurate prediction of a major enemy counterblow. In scope and reliatility of informants, they were likewise weak. Two data concerned increasing energy vehicular activity during thel2-15 December period, but no pattern of action could be derived from the several, separated instances. A divilian Luxusbourg worse turned herself in to a divisional CIC on 14 December, and described some enemy build-up in the VIADEH-RITBURG area, but her capturing division declared that she had noted nothing of military significance on her main travel routs. A PW was captured at 1930 on 15 December, who referred to an impending attack. He said he had arrived on the front on the previous afternoon, relieving an unknown unit, which was pulled out and briefed for an attack between 17 December and Christmas, but the P# did not know if the front were to be regimental, divisor, or the entire front, As the capturing divisor

chrorved, the Pa was seriously wounded, and he was interrogated while under morphise. His coherence was questionable.

Note is taken that these four data were the only once of seven which were forwarded to the VIII Corps headquaters by the Corps divisions prior to 16 December. The Corps judgment of the enemy intertions therefore took into account only those four. Actually, two divisions captured three other Ph's on the 15th, one woulded, who stated from henrsay or runor that as large-scale offensive was imminent. Neither these three nor the one repeated to the Corps provided substantive, written information, e.g., official orders and plans. Thus, the four data forwarded to the Corps headquarters were the only attack symptoms possessed by the Corps C-2.

In contrast, Corps air recognishance, when flown on only five days of the 1-15 December period, observed only minor activity, such as sevements of two trains and a few vehicles, from which no pattern could be deduced. Furthersore, the enemy's activity was defensive and inactive, limited to patrolling and sporadec artillary, all non-suggestive of aggressive intertions to the VIII Corps.

Unlike its southern VIII Corps neighbor, the Y Corps did not possess evidence of the impending attack. Rather, if attack signs existed the V Corps did not recognize them. It possessed no special data resoubling that of the VIII Corps which on its face value seemed to point to a large-scale energy action. The energy's strong resistance to the V Corps offensive operations prior to 16 December were interpreted as expected reaction. Air reconsistance did not reveal attack signs. It was negligible due to bad weather, but when flights rese flown they did not disclose informative data. A tually, air activity was largely support of the offensive ground operations, rather than reconsaissance for information.

Eintlar to the V Corps, the VII Corps was pre-occupied with its own offensive during the pre-Counteroffensive period, and the energy's defensive action was the expected reaction, which was not an attack sign. No particular symptoms were noted by the Corps.

forwarding of Information.

By the Corps. --- The najor medium of the Corps transmission of their intelligence data was through their daily G-2 Periodics. Each of the V and the VIII Corps included the EHRET CAPABILITIES section in their periodics, but entries were regularly H2 change. In contrast, the VII Corps G-2 Periodics did not incorporate even the title of that section, except on 7 December when it quoted from a SHAFF G-2 Weekly ISER.

issued by all three Corps. The VIITH Corps issued #5, on 1 Ocober, during the period in which the Corps assumed its Ardennes position, and

followed with Estimates on 3, 8, 19 Cetober, and 9 December. Those of the 3d and 6th October dealth with counterintelligence in Belgium and Euxenbourg. An Estimate was issued by the V Corps on 6 December, and that of the VII Corps was on the 9th.

In respect to highly significant data, note is taken that only the VIII Corps received information that estensibly indicated an impending pajor offensive by the enemy. These were four data received from its divisions during the last few days prior to 16 Describer. As observed on the Divis on Level, the Corps divisions acquired seven data, but only four were transmitted to the VIII Corps. The VIII's decreasing confirm that proportion, Then we e the two data concerning the increasing enemy traffic, the one pertaining to the build-up information provided by a civilian woman informat, and the claim of an impending attack made by a PH. All four data received by the VIII Corps were promptly reported in its G-2 Periodic for the day, but there was no evidence that it was forwarded by massage to the Army as supplementary, expeditious method. One data, however, was reported in the Corps 150%.

From Other Cormands. --- Each of the three Corps received G-2 issuances from higher and adjacent economics, G-2 Periodics was the usual document, particularly in the case of the VIII Corps. First Army Intelligence Sussaries were also frequently received. The VII Corps tended to possess copies of recent SHAFF and Traifth irmy Group G-2 Zekly Intelligence Sussaries.

Initial Recognition of the Assault's Gravity.

Then the Counterof Tencive was I muched at approximately C530 on 16 December, the V and VII Corps were engaged in offensives against the BOFR dame and COLOGKE respectively, whereas the VIII Corps occupied a quiet, inactive, defensive front. As a corps the VIII the received the brunt of the assault on the 16th when elements of ten divisions struck it. Nevertheless, the 99th Division, the southern flank of the V Corps, and adjacent to the VIII corps on the VIII's north, was the divisional victim of elements of twelve divisions. Thus, of the actually essaulted divisions of the three corps, the four of the VIIIth mercon the defense, but the 99th of the T Corps was six conducting its own offensive.

No belief was held by the VIII Corps that a major energy counteroffensive would be made, and the command was surprised by the ascault. Its realisation of the attack's scope was not reached until approximately early sorning of the 17th. Its G-2 Foriodic of 162400 Decemberinferred that the attack was only a counterattack to restere lost positions. This wire was taken despite the fact that the Gorps possessed by 2320 hours two copies of the Commander-in-Chief Wast's Order of the Pay, 16 December, proclaiming the Counteroffensive to the German forces. One copy from the Worrs was received at 2120.

According to the V Guspe G-2 Periodic for 1600014-1624004 December: "The commy apparently planned an all out attack against the VIII and V Corps with the main spearhead directed approximately

at the boundary between the two corps.* The source of this data or the reasons for the opinion sere not stated. Entirely possible, hossyer. the V Corps G-2 derived this opinions from various sources, the main one being the exptused tent of the Von Rundstedt Order of the Day announcing the Countereffensive. This document was captured by the 99th Division, and phoned to the V Corps 23 at 1350A, who doubtless showed it to the G-2. The Corps G-2 thereby had sev rel hours to somsider its meaning. During the day, however, the V Corps issuances provided no indication of its comprehension of the enway's objectives and intentions. Intelligence Sussairies of 0600, 1200, 1900, and 2400 described operations only, without consentary as to their overall significance. Their own offensive action was continued throughout the day by the 2d and 78th Divsions, which were not attacked, except for some shalling. On the other hand, the 97th, being notually assaulted, maintained positions against heavy pressure, contined two enemy ponstrations, received intense artillery and morter fire, conducted local counteratticks to rectore organal positions, and maintained contact with the 2d Division on its left(north).

In complete contrast to the VIII Copps and partially to that of the Vth, the VII Copps was not attacked by the enemy on the 16th, except for some shelling on two towns and an assault on one of the towns by a sixty was force which was repulsed. The VIIth continued its own offensive until relieved by the MIX Copps at midnight 21 December, whereupon the VIIth ithdrew into Belgium for participation in the Counteroffensive.

REF ID:A557761

CORPS

VIII CORPS Critique I. assumption of Anderson Position 4 oct 44. II - Evaluation of the Enemy Capabilities SIEGFRIED Line Studies. Initial appraised of the Energy other October Appraials. Appairale During November. Apprinte 1-15 December. Combat betwiens Appearent. III - Security Proflems & Measures. 10-13. IV. Corps Interpretation of breny Activity 13-11. I - Significant Roseling of herm offician Preface 16 Conclusion 16-17. Summy Reliability Acops Forwarding of Date analysis of Types .-

Observation of Traffin by 28th 4 106 th Dis. Civilian Information. PW Information of lained by 101th DIV. PW Information oftained by 4th Div. II. Coye Concern own lack of PW deforation. 26-27. II. air Recommission by the Corps. VIII - Commading General's Pre-Counter- offensing Evaluation: 28-31 question by Theater Historian, and answerty bren. Middleton. Interior of 19 Jan 1945. IX. Soitial Recognition of assaults Granty. Corps Antelligen, fummunis. FUSA ISUM Captured German Orders. Corps G-2 Periodic, 16 Dec. Commanding General's Orders. C'ar statement on Realyation. F. Formaling of Intelligen about the Enemy. 36-37. Pre-Country- Offersion Period. 16 December.

#2. VIII Corps. Contents.

Documentation.	38-76.
1, After/Astion Reports.	36-42。
A. Saptebaer 1944.	38。
B. October.	38-39。
C. Novamber.	40.
D. December.	40-42.
II. Q-2 Estimates.	42-50.
A. G-2 Metimate #8, 011200 Oct. 44.	42-45.
B. * * #9, 3 Oct.	45.
C, * # #10, 8 Oct.	45 a
D. * * \$11, 19 Oct.	45-4#.
Z. * * #12, 9 Dec.	48-50.
III. G-2 Periodics, Sept Nov. 1944.	5 0 ~52.
A. Saptember.	50。
R. Ostober.	50-51.
C. November.	51-52.
IV. G-2 Periodics, 1-16 December.	53-58.
A. 1-15 December, Miscellaneous Data.	53 -55 。
B. 1-15 December, Air Reconnaissance.	55-57.
6. 16 December.	57-56。
V. G-2 Journal & File.	58-72。
A. Sept Cot.	妈~59。
B. December.	<i>5</i> 9-72。
VI. G-3 Periodics, 10-15 December.	72-73。
VII. Combat Interviews.	73-77。
A. Fiscellaneous Notes on VIII Corps and Ardennes Operation, by Capt. L. B. Clark.	73-74.
B. Questions Answered by Lt. Gen. Troy H. Fiddleton CG, VIII Corps, in letter to Theater Historian 30 July 1945.	
C. Interview with Waj. Gen. T.H. Middleton, CG, by Capt. Clark, 20 April 1945.	76.
D. Interviews with General Widdliton, by Captain Clark, 19 January 1945.	76-77.
WIII, Deception Ope a tion KOBLESS	77-78.
A. VIII Corps After/A tion R port, Dec. 1944.	77-78
B. Deptien Operation KOBIANZ.	78.

REF ID: A557761

Markey A. S. C.

VIII CORPS

SUMARY

Following its BURST campaign, the VIII Corps (Minth Army) relieved the V Corps (First Army) on 4 October 1944 of its defensive position along the CUR River, paralleling the SIEGFRIED Line in the LUIEMBOUNG-BRIGIUM Area, a 50 mile sector, and positioned the 2d Division on the Morth and the 5th in the South. The some was extended to approximately 88 miles, to the southeast corner of LUEEMBOURG, on 11 October, when the 53d Division was transferred from the Third Army to the VIII Corps. On 22 October the Corps passed to the First Army from the Minth. As of 15 December the 106th and 28th Infantry Divisions, the 9th Armored, and 4th Infantry were positioned Morth to South.

Issadiately upon assumption of its Ardennes front the Corps estimated that the enemy was required to hold the SIEGFRIED Line at all costs, at least for political resoons, to hold the Allied forces on the Restern Front, and that the Line's continued serious defense was expected. This was the selient theme of the G-2 Estimates of 1, 19 October and 9 December. According to the latter, the enemy's primary ability and intention was his current active defense. His practice of moving may divisions into the zone for front line emerience them conmittment elsewhere indicated his desire that the some remain quiet and insetive. Counterattacks to restore positions and reinforecastate from reserves were not expected by the 9 December Est'mate unless the enemy felt the VIII Corps was mounting an offensive. German forces were appraised as being very low in quality, to be heatily organized Kampfgruppen, composed of miscellansous replacement, security, and service troops. This low quality did not suggest offensive intentions to the VIII Corps. Nor did the fact that the enemy's operations were defensive and inactive.

VII Grops activity was likewise defensive, with some active patrolling and harassing artillery. Its defense was difficult because its front was stretched some 88 miles, leaving spots open for patrol penetration. Of the four divisions on the line as of 16 Desember, the 4th and 25th, while battlewise, were undergoing rest and reh bilitation, and the 106th Infantry and 9th Armored were inexperienced, this being their initial commitment.

Information concerning the energy was both slight in questity and of inconclusive authority. Bad weather completely prevented air recommiss not on 10 of the days during the 1-15 December period. Only short flights were flown on the other days, which observed only minor activity such as novements of two iximums tr ins and a few vehicles. At least no pattern could be deduced from the data. As noted on the divisional

#2. VIII Corps. Surmary.

level, (1) during the 12-15 December period, the 25th and 106th Divisions observed increasing enemy vehicular traffic; (2) a Laxabbourg divilian women voluntarily surrended to the 28th Division on IL December. and who described German buildup in the VIADEM-BITEURG area; and, (3) two PW's from each the 4th and 106th Divisions captured on 15 December stated from hearsay or runor that a major German offensive would be launched between 17-25 December. These seven data were reasonably too slight for a prediction of a major encay counterblow. They were likewish weak in scope. No pattern of motion could be derived from the several, separated instance of accellerated enemy traffic observed by the 28th and 106th Divisions. Even the 28th Division asserted that the woman informer it had acquired had described nothing of military significance of what sha had seen on her main route. The statements about an impending attack made by the two 206th Pits were founded upon hearsay, and upon rustr the two of the 4th Division. He substantive written information, e.g., official orders, and plans, were provided. Both 106th's Pa's were wounded, reducing, doubtless, their coherence.

Of these seven data, only four had been forwarded to the Corps, the two about the increasing braffic, that about the woman informer, and that from one of the PR's. Of the four impending attack claims by PR's, the Corps was notified of only one, and his testimony was given while he was under the influence of morphise. Even if his claim were substantial, there was negligible time for the taking of divisional and Corps protestive measures, because the Division did not learn of it until 1930 on the 15th, and the Corps 36 minutes later.

The Oppe pre-Counteroffensive identification of the enemy was very inaccurate. Similar to the totals of the individual divisions, the VIII Corps identified only four enemy divisions prior to 16 December, yet ten divisions assembled the Corps front on that day. Its initial conception of the enemy strength and reserves was expressed in its G-2 Estimate of 1 October when the Ardennes position was assumed. An available strength of 30,000 was then estimated, plus a reserve infantry divison of 5,000 and a princer granadiar brigade of 1,500. In contrast, the 19 October G-2 stimate set the strength at 35,000 man, but the 9 December Estimate gave the figure as 24,000, with the reserves as two pansar, two infantry, Sive parachute divisions, and the Sicth Pansar kray of five divisions. As of 15 December, the Mentified enemy divisions were the 15th, 26th, 212th, 352 VG Divisions.

No belief was held by the VIII Corps that a major, large-scale enemy counteroffensive would be made, and the economic was surprised by the assault. Except fro the questionable four intelligence data mentioned above, the fings Corps pessessed no attack symptoms. The Corps realisations of the scape of the attack was not revened until approximately early norming of the 17th. Its G-2 Periodic for 162400 December intimated that the attack was only a counterattack to retors lost positions. This view

REF ID: A557761

#3. VIII ()s. Summary.

was taken despite the Corps asquisition by 2310 of two copies of the Commander-in-Chief West's Order of the Day, 16 December, proclaiming the Counteroffensive to the German forces. Apparently, this revealing document, obtained from the Y Copys and the 106th Division, was disregarded in the G-2's analysis of the situation.

REF ID: A557761

VIII CORPS

CHITIQUE

I. Assumption of Ardennes Pesition, 4 October 1944.

Following its BREST campaign under the Ninth U.S. Army, the VIII Corps moved that cormand's forward zone to the enemy's SIECFRIED Line defences in the LUSEMBOURG-BELGIUM area. (I.A&B) The VIII Corps relieved the V Corps(First Army) composed of the 4th and 25th Infantry and 5th Armared Divisions, which moved northward, adjacent to its forwar position, to particip teto in a First Army drive on the SIECFRIED line. A move was made by the VIII Corps during the last week of September and early Outober to the vic nity of BASTOGME, Belgium, and responsibility for its new sector was assumed on 041200 October.

"The mission of the Corps was to relieve the V Corps and take up a defensive position along the line held by the latter unit." This Minth Army some, socupied by the VIII Corps only, was between the First Army on its north and the Third Army, and was a 50 mile line along the OUR River, paralleling the enemy's SINGIRIED Line, from approximately LOSHRIE, Belgium (LO397) southward slmost to ECHTERNACH, Luxembourg on the German frontier. The 2d Infantry Division was positioned on the north, in the visinity of ST. VITH, Belgium, and the 6th Division south near MAX WILTZ, Luxembourg.

II. Evaluation of the bnew Capabilities.

When the VIII Corps assumed its Ardennes some in early October, it immediately appraised the enemy's situation in a G-2 Estimate on 1 October. While subsequent daily G-2 Periodics contributed intelligence data, the G-2 Estimate was the medium for the Corps expression of its judgment about the German possibilities. Two later Estimates were issued, on 19 October and 9 December.

a continued serious defense of the SIEGFRIED Line was the primary expectation of the 1 October Estimate, with three capabilities as possible: to counterattack locally to restore positions, to continue an active defense of curre t positions, and to rainforce for local counterattacks or concentration for a larger scale counterattack anywhere on the Corps front. The Estimate of three weeks later repeated those espabilities, But, the 9 December Estimate narrowed potentialities, in that the enemy's current active defense was considered as his primary ability and intention, unless the VIII Corps want onto an offensive. The G=2 explained: "The enemy's practice of bringing new divisions to receive

front line experience and then relieving them out for commitment elsewhere indicated his desire to have this sector of the front remain quiet and inactive. * Counterattacks to restore positions, and reinforcement from reserves were not expected unless the enemy felt the VIII Corps was mounting an effensive. Thus, as of about a week preceding the Counteroffensive, the VIII Corps restricted the enemy's capability to his current active defense, without counterattack probabilities.

Preliminary to its G-2 Estimates, the Corps sade two studies af titled, THE SIENFRIED LINE.

SINTRIED Line Studies.

Both the Corps 6-2 and the Artillery issued studies, dated 30 September and I October respectively (III, A; V,A) Both documents, of four and three pages, locked consideration of the energy's offensive potentialities. R ther, both studies were descriptive, dealing with the physical details of the line, such as the nature of the fortifications, the design and strength of concrete positions, and the defences facing the VIII Corps.

Initial Appreciant of the Energy

Evaluation of the enemy's potentialities was stated on the Corps level in the Corps G-2 Estimate #8, 1 October, and a Corps Artillery Intelligence Report was issued on the same day.

CEEPS G-2 VETIM TE #8, 1 OCTOBER. -- Continued serious defense of the SIEGFRIED Line was the salient point of this document. (II.A) The lead santence stated: "The Siegfried Line on the front and flanks of the area to be occupied by the VIII Corps is being strongly defended by the energy. The line was characterised as enot a complete system of static fortification, but rather a light system of interlocking defenses which increase the defensive power of mobile troops. The doctrine for its defense states that in case of a breach of the forward lines mobile tree, s located in suitable rear areas will immediabely counterattack to restore the position. Within the limits imposed by the lack of mobile reserves of good quality, the enery has consistently followed this doctrine in hier current operations against the V Corps. His counterattacks have been prompt and vigorous. ... According to this Katim to of I October. denuments captured by the predecessor command, the V Corps, indicated "That the energy had been ordered to hold the Siegfried Line at all costs." Day-by-day otion aginet the V Corps and the macrous PR statements as to missions of their units indicated *conclusively that, for political reasons if for no other, the enemy will continue to hold the Siegfried Line as long as there is a chance of holding the Allied forces on the Western Front." #3. VIII Corper Critique.

As to the strength of the energy, this I October Estimate believed that a maximum of 30,000 troops were available for immediate committeent against the VIII Corps, including the mobile reserve which could be transported easily. Approximately 22,000 were currently operating in the mone, principally under the following units:

2 SS Panzer Div. 'DAS REICH' 3,900 men 19 Inf. Div. 4,000 36 7,500 5 Para. Div. 500 Attached and Misc. Units. 6,100

Artillery strength was estimated at 14 battelions of artillery, composed of 75mm, 105cm gum box., and 150cm how., soveral batteries of Smoke Projectors (Nevelwarfers), and several railroad gums of 210cm caliber love ed on the rail line north of TRIER. Tanks had been seriously deplated in the past wouth and currently did not constitute a serious threat. An estimate of 40 tanks was made, but the enemy "has shown very little inclination to use these offensively." Heneryes consisted of the 48th Infantry Division, 5,000 men, which we thought of as probably a mobile reserve, but whose position was unknown, and the 106th Tanzer Granadian Erigade of 1,500 men and 10 tanks, located north of TRIER.

The quality of both the cosmitted and reserve forces was considered to be low. Consisted forces were mostly bestily organized Kampigruppen, composed of the miscelleneous replacement, security, and corvice troops, operating under the beadquarters of divisions which had been depleted in previous operations. Artillerymon, airmon, convalencents, recent inductees whether yery young or very old, and the aged from local hono-guards were these troops. lower quality forces sanned the fixed fortifications, which the better quality made the counterattacks. Other than the reserves mentioned above no other known ensure forces were regarded for employment as strategio reserves. The enemy was considered capable of collecting and using more of the low quality forces in prepared fortifications. In fact, according to an unspecified higher headquarters, eight divisions of the 500 series, 527-562, were being formed, as well as four from convalescent and furlough personnel. The Corps thought one of these divisions night appear on its front, if they were evenly distributed along the SIEGFAIED Line, In General, "the reserves available to the enemy in the West and even from other theaters are extremely meager. The forces now opposing the Allied Armies for continued defense of a line 450 wiles in length. The only chance that the enemy as of successfully defending the Fatherland is to use all the reserves that can be assembled in the West and to cormit them as rapidly as they become available. The frontic mobilization of all available man-lower within Germany and the basty or maization of new divisions indicate that further reinforcements of the type recently committed on the Restern front are definite possibilities. It will take a little time, however, to get these forces organized into action.

Enemy capabilities were simply stated as follows, with non the three designated as the most or lest likely:

*(1) The enemy can make local countersttacks to restore his local Singfried Line positions at any time with forces now on his front.

*(2) The enemy can continue an active defense of his present

positions with forces now on his front.

*(3) The energy can reinfture his front line strength with one Inf Div (5,000 men) and one Panser GR Brigade (1,500 men and 10 tanks) at any time and utilise such reinforcements in making local countersattacks or concentrate his strength for a counterattack on a larger scale anywhere on the Corps front.

CORPS ARTILLERY UNTILLIGENCE REPORT \$100, 1 000T. (V,A) --- Enemy artillery capabilities were initially considered under that heading as the following:

*a. Continue to harress front line elements.

"b. Intensify harassing and interdiction fires to interfere with relief of Y Corps units.

"c. Fire is support of infantry counterattack to inforfere with felief of V Corps."

"do fire at extreme ranges."

Under the discussion of these capabilities, "a above is being exercised and wilmostly likely continue since the fires of German artillery in he defense are haldteally direct on front line troops." The b and g or a combination were considered likely, and regarding g, "it is not likely that deep in fires will be received in any volume since the enemy in this area has not employed long-range interdiction or harassing fires. Such fires are a definite capability, however, during the relief of V Corps and for short time thereafter."

Other October Appreciation

Inasemoh as the Corps G-2 Fatimate #8, 1 October, was the VIII Comps basic intalligence statement about the energy as of the Corps assumption of its Ardennes sector, subsequent October, Movember, 1-15 December G-2 appraisals either continued the conclusions expressed in that E_timeto or revised them. They were basically continued. Daily G-2 Periodics and irregularly issued Estimates were the media for these future statements of Corps G-2 opinions.

Following the i smance of this Estimate on 1 October, the fire' daily G-2 Periodic, \$108 on Oll200A-O21200A October, referred to this Estimate for the information about the enemy, including his capabilities (III,B) Daily periodics for 3-18 October noted no change as to enemy capabilities, and did not not add new data, rather, some periodics cited portions of the 1 October Estimate. During this 1-18 October period, two G-2 F timates were issued, but they did not pertain

to the ensy effensive potentialities.(II,BEC) Estimate #9, COUNTER-OFFENSIVE IN BELGICE, was issued on 3 October, and #10% COUNTERD/FENSIVE IN THE GRAND DUCKE OF LUXERSONG, on the 5th.

Metimats #11 was issued on 19 October, but the Corps epinion of the enemy capabilities was unchanged in this E_timate.(II,D) In fact, the phraseology of the I October issuarce was repeated. This 19 October E timate, however, considered detailed conterationk possibilities. In contrast to the 30,000 figure of the 1 October E-timate, this of the 19th approximated 35,000 energy troops along the ONE-MCSELLE Rivers front as "available for counterattacks and for defense of his Siegfried Line. * Wevertheless, *information of the enemy's activities presently available to G-2 does not indicate that any large scale counterattack in contemplated or even probable on his part, however local counterattacks are a distinct capability and sust be expected. ... * The Corps G-2 expressed the vi-r that the current "grouping of enemy forces and his activity on the front (indicated) that there (were) four areas long the CURMICSHILE River front where he would most likely make countersutacks. Certainly he would counters tack almost immediately if an attempt wore made to dislodge him from his positions in these areas. yet the possibility of local counterstacks or at least a reconnaissance in force must not be everlooked. The four areas were: RARBSCHEID-SCHNEE etfel arva: Viaden appa: Pothierhach area: Grevenzicher arpa. This 19 Untober Estim to recented the op'nion of the 1 October issuance that The cuality of troops were low and had not been improved.

No further G-2 K₂timate conserming German potentialities were issued from during 20-31 October, and the MPEMY CAPABILITIES section of the daily G-2 Periodice were noted as no change. (II,B) In fact, the Gorps opinion of the enemy capabilities were stated in its k October G-2 Estimate, and it was not basically altered throughout the south.

in the sales le livelag foresber.

No change was made during the first seven days of Nobember concerning the sepabilities as expressed in the daily G-2 Periodics. (II,Q) The Periodic of 6 November pointed out that the enemy order of battle had shifted, and the units in contact were the 18th VC Division, the 35th, 9lat, 353d and 416th Infantry Divisions, and elements of the 2d Panser Division. This was an almost complete substitution of forces over those facting the Corps on 1 October.

Periodic, which observed in its section on Knewy Capabilities that the energy had reacted to the V Corps SCHWIDT offensive "with victors counterattacks and the fighting around SCHWIDT has now become very flerous and stubborn." (Ibid.) Possibly resulting from the V Corps drive, there was increased enemy activity in the 2d Division's sector. In general, the memory was considered to be implementing Capability #3 of the 1 and 19 Optober G-1 Ketimates that he was reinforcing his front line. Uncertaintly existed, however, whether the reinforcements were "new units or merely troops and material to be incorporated into the existing divisional organisation."

He further revised opinions were stated during the remainder of Hovember, 9-30th, and the Rentminute Periodics ENERI CAPABILITY mention was marked as an observe.

Appraisal 1-15 December-

As of 1 December, according to the G-2 Periodic of that day, the enemy units in contact were the 18th, 26th, 352d, 212th VG Divisions. (IV,1) But, in general, no changes in snemy capabilities were expressed through 8 December.

A G-2 Ratimate #12 was issued on 9 December, which analyzed the German operations and potentialities. (II,E) His activity during , the previous six weeks had not been offensive. R ther, resistance had then etubhorn, with wary few putrols penetrating his defenses, and his a n activity had been limited to patrolling and sporadic artillery and mortar fire. A complete turn-over of his divisions had occurred, with the older divisions being "pulled out for consittment in an active , area to plug a gap, and a new Volksgrenadier division replacing it to gain front-line experience. In respect to capabilities, the VIII Corps 6-2 believed that the enemy's active defense them in current effect sould continue until the VIII Corps went on the offensive. The G-2 observed: "The enemy's present practice of bringing new divisions to receive front line experience and then relieving them out for committment alsowhere indicated his desire to have this sector of the front remain quiet and insetive. " Capability \$2 to counterattack to restore postions and #3 to reinforce from reserves "will doubtless never be implemented unless the enemy feels that VIII Corps he preparing to mount an offensive."

Energy forces facing the VIII Corps were estimated by this 9
December analysis as assumting to 24,000 troops, consisting of the
26th and 212th VG Divisions of 6,000 men each, the 352d Infantry Division
of 6,500, and the 18th VG Division of 5,500. They were described as
awing been refitted and reformed from compat seasoned cadre, young
Secruits, older men up to 45 years, naval and GAF personnel. These
divisions have received an average of two months intensive training as a
unit, and represent a last ditch effort to form divisional units for
the defense of the Fatherland.

Reserves were listed as follows:

2 Pr Div. 4,000 men, 50 tanks MUCHEN-GLABBACH area 116 * * 5,00 * 40 * KCBLENZ area (E of Rhine)

243 Inf. Div. 326 * *

WESTPHALIA(sould arrive on our front within 72 hours)

Sixto Ca Aust.

1 SS LAH Each --- (6,000 men MHINELAMB vic COLCOME, backing

2 " "PAS REICH! (60 tanks up inf. 9 " "HOHENSTAFFEN! (MEY Assult

12 * 'HITLER JUGEND' (gun bn (?)

9 Pr Div.

2 Para Div.

Reforming in HOLLAND

6 * *

COLOGNE area

7 * *

Respecting armor and artillery, the VIII Corps had stated that no enemy dividen had organic armor nor were there any GHQ tank battalions, but there were eleven artillery battalions.

Terrain was regarded by the Corps as favoring the defense, the OUR and SAUAR Riv rs constituted the most formidable initial obstacles, the communications net behind the enemy facilitated his shifting of forces to meet an adv noe, the road net was adequate but saintenance would be heavy. An "dvancing force would be confined to roads, due to soil, climate and "easom. There was little opportunity for use of armor.

Enemy capabilities during the remainder of the period, 10-15 December, and beyond those estimated by the G-2 Estimate of 9 December, were unchanged in the Corps evaluation. At least the desily periodics did not reflect any changing epision. (IV,4) Ttheir Enemy Capability section noted no change, and the divisional units identified continued to be the 15th, 20th, 352d, and 212th VG "ivisions. Of interest was the inclusion in the G-2 Periodic for 15 December of a discussion of reserves capable of intervention. A Third U.S. Army G-2 Periodic for the 14th was quoted, to the effect that German reserves of armor and infantry in the Northern sectors of the Western front "continue to be the biggest question wark in enemy dispositions. Indications continue that the enemy is planning an operation that would employ the four divisions of Sixth SS Panser A.My. ...*

Combat Interviewsamuraisel.

Enemy capabilities were not dispussed by the Corps officers during post-campaign interviews held with them by combat historians. (VII)

III. Security Problems and Measures.

when the VIII Corps relieved the V Corps on 4 October, it assumed a 50 mile lime paralleling the OUR River, from approximately LOSHEIM, Belgium(LO397) southward almost to ECHTERNACH Lumenbourg on the German Frontier. (I,AAB) This sector became a Mighh Army command between the First Army on the north and the Third Army. Within the "III Corps, the 2d and 5th Infantry Divisions were positioned morth to south. "The

##. ALL 0. 1. Gallages. REF ID: A557761

mission of the Corps was to relieve the V Corps and take up a defensive position along the line held by the latter unit.

hocording to the Corps After/A tion Report for October, "th extended from the stretched out the divisions and made the problem of preserving depth and continuity in the defense an extremely difficult one. Only the wors commanding ground could be organized with any force, leaving many vacant spots between the organized positions. These were fairly extensive and while they were protected against any major enemy thoust by the divisions' mobile reserves, the front was decidedly open and prostrations by enemy patrols we a frequent occurrences throughout the nonthalogous (I.B) This document also pointed out that "since the most active area in the sector was in the north and as this area a peared to be most likely section of the Corps front for future offensive operations, the bulk of the Corps Artillery was exemitted to the north.

Muserically, the Corps forces of two divisions were sugaented during Ontober by the addition of two div slone and a cavalry group, but the Corps front was also extended from approximately 50 to 88 miles, with only one of the two acquired divisions assuming a front line position, the other becoming reserve. (I,B) On the 11 the 83d Division, on the Corps couch flank, was transferred from the Third Army to the VIII Corps, ito sector bringing the reasinder of MUNABOUNG into the Corns sector The 63d occupied a defensive postion behind the SAUER and upper MCSELLE Pivers, and its includen in the WIII Corps some lowered the Corps southern boundary approximately to the southeast corner of the DUCAR Of LUXET THEG, at a point there the SESELLE River cross d the Francoformen border (20095). On the 10th, the 9th Armored Division completed its concentration in the Willebauck-Mirsh erea, and the 14th Canalay drong with two mentions closed in the Corps zone. The 9th A nored, however, was newly arrived on the continut, and elements of it fors attrobed to the front line ISL westified Divisiones to gain battle searching under defensive condtions.

Also on the 20th, the 83d Division staged a river crossing desonate tion to take t makes exposure of his defensive postitions, but only a light rescrion occurred, consisting of some a tillery and moreor concentrations. (This

Organia tionally, the VIII Corps passed to the First Army on 22 Ostobration to the Twolitharmy Group's shifting of the Ninth Army from between the First and Third to the north of the First (Ibid.)

Action was defensive by the Corps during October, with some active patrolling and harmening a tilery. (Ibid.) The latter was limited by exemption allowances of only one to tell-tenths of a unit of fire per dog. Air missions were very few because of oversat skies and low risibility.

November continued defensive in operations, and opened with the Corps divisions disposed Worth to South, the 2d, the 8th, and the 83d, with the

9th fracted in Gords reserve, and amprorting the 83d Division with armored artillery and receiving some combat experience. (I,C) The Corps center was occupied by the 5th Division, which was spread over an extensive wisth, and this portion of the front was further weakened on 19 Mosamber, by the exchange of the 5th and the sorn-out 26th Divisions. The latter zoved from its fighting for the BCFR dume, and needed rehabilitation and reinforcement, which was ismediately undertaken, is in "ctober, little estial reconnaissance was permitted, due to low overcast, frequent rais and fog.

As of I December, the VIII Corps continued mai taining its extensive lars of defense of the First Apat's southern some. (I,D) This some was between the First Army's merthern area which was active during the factors and Mcvember STEGFRIED Line drive; to capture AACHAN and the ROOK Rever date and the Third Army which captured METZ during its Ophober Povember drive towards the SIMGFRIE Line. Ranging Morth to South were the 2d, 22th, and 83d Divisions, with the 9th Armored in reserve and receiving bettle indoctrination.

Changes in the Corps somposition occurred during the first third of December, which, while not medicing an the numerical number of divisions, did lower the Corps quality [185]do). The 4th Division(V Corps) schanged with the 33d Division on 7 December, moving from its Furtgen Forest gighting to the VIII Corps for rest and rehabilitation. Then, four days I ter, the new, inexperienced 106th Division relieved the 2d Division, which, like the 83d, went to the V Corps. A four-kilometer front was given to the 9th into red Division on 10 December, which had been obtaining front-line experience for a month and a half through attachment of element to line divisions. However, only the 60th fraced Infantry Battalian and its supporting forces were given the new divisional front.

Thus, as of il December the Vill Comps was composed of the 4th, 22th, 186th Infantry Divisions and the 9th Armored, and they were the forces on the Comps line five days later when the Counteroffensive struck. The following tabulation indicates their battle conditions

- 4th Div. : Very battle wise; relieved after several weeks of HERTORE Forest fighting for rest and rehabilitation; in Ardennes position about a week priot to Counteroffer ive, 7-15 December.
- 28th Div. : Battle wise; relieved from ROER River dans fighting for rest and rehabilitation; occupied Agdemes position 32 weeks, 1985/v.~ 15 December.
- 196th Div. * Initial committeent and inexperienced; occupied Ardenness position five days prior to Counteroffensive, 11-15 Describer:
- 9th Arm'd Div: Received battle indoctrination through attachment of elements to line divisions during 7 weeks prior to 10 December; when assigned a divisional sector, only an armored infantry battalion and attachment occupied itsrom 10 December.

In surmary, although battle wise, two of the four divisions were occupying Indense postions for rest and rehabilitation, during one and 3½ weeks respective; the other two divisions receiving their battle experience on this definive, quiet fr at, on for only five days, the other through seven seeks of authoheant followants to line divisions. Note of the four divisions was both by the experienced and in fighting toodston that the Germans launched their 16 December offensive. (big. Leval. p. 1).

Corps operations during the last several days preceding the 16th of December indicated the lask of emergency protective measures which would be presumably taken if the Corps expected the large-scale energy of a sive. The VIII Corps Suma C=3 daily periodics from 10 December, without exception, reported the operation thus: "Maintained defense of sons." (VI)

A singular decurity seasure was taken bythe VIII Corps, upon First Army orders, suring the first helf of December. (VIII) This was deception operation MCDIFFY, designed by the Twelfth Alay Group to tie down enemy divisions on the VIII Corps front and to attract enemy forces from the stubbornly held DURKH area. A build-up of forces was represented in the area north of LUXIXBOURG for a threatenting attack north of the MOSSLIB River toward MOBLENZ. The mass of the 75th Infantry Division the used, since it had not teen committed, and the 12th Army Group made cycliable the 23d Epsaidl Treeps to simplets concentration of the division by occupation of commend posts and billets, display of shoulder patches and truck rankings, and by troop revenents. Andie and telaphonic training was also exployed. The VIII Corps Artillery established another fire direction center, and conducted registration fire from new positions. The operation was faded out near the middle of the month, tursuse the 25th Division was to be committed in the north; and instructions were I suid to repeat the program with another mack division.

A commentary on this security action was provided Maj. Gen. Twoy H. Middleton, the Commanding General of the VIII Corps, during a Combat Interview during January 1945. (VII.D) "General Middleton said he never had a roved of 'rubber duck' operations, and that knowing the strength of what was on his immediate front, he was less than usually enthusiastic about this particular operation."

IV. Corps Interpretation of Enemy Activity.

When the VIII Corps moved to the Ardennes are in late September, it issued a G-2 Estimate on 1 October, which stated in its lead sentences The Siegfried Line on the front and flanks of the area to be occupied by the VIII Corps is bein strongly defended by the enemy. (II.A) The doctrine for its defense states that in case of a breach of the forward lines sobile troops located in suitable rear areas will immediately counterattack to restore the position. (Ibid.) Furthermore, "documents captured by V Corps indicate that the enemy has been ordered to hold the

#11. VIII CORPS. Critique.

Siegfried Line at all costs. The action of enemy forces against the V Cor s from day-to-dayand numerous statements of prisoners of war as to the mission of their units indicates conclusively that, for political reas no if flor no other, the enemy will continue to hold the Siegfried Line as long as there is a chance of holding the Allied forces on the Restern front. In view of the doctrine of defense, "it follo a that the enemy will continue to counterattack and maintain an active defense at any threshold point so long as he retains his intention of defending this line."

Enemy activity was, therefore, a very serious consideration to the VIII Corps when it assumed responsibility for its Ardennes zone on 4 Outober.

On 12 19 October, the VIII Corps ignued another G-2 F timete whose first sentinus explained: "For the past 30 days, "ctivity"on the front new occupied by the VIJI Corps has been extrerely light and there hasi been very little change in lines occupied by the oppso ng forces. ... Any advances made in limited strength has not with an immediate countersh'ack, showing the disposition on the part of the German to stabilize the line on its present position. He has utilized this time to his advantage to strengthen certain parts of the Siegfried Line, to throw up temporary fortifications, seal off those areas through which our forces have paretrated and to regroup and reorganize his forces opposing us. While he has gained something by this, he still has not been able to raise the quality of troops on our front which remain still miscellaneous units corresting of artillorgues, airmon and other branches employed as infantry c nvelescerbs with previous battle experience, recent inductees erther very roung or very old, and aged from the local home guard, as long as fortifications are occupied, such low quality troops can perform with a tair degree of success their mission to hold the Siegfried Line. Once the lines are renet ated and : ancuver begun, the lack of training, physic I staming, shortage of equipment, material and vehicles can be eserfally exploited. The recent proclemation of Hitler's, drafting every man from 16 to 60 into the home guard and placing this under the SS Leader Himmler, and not the Army, indicates the shortage of manpower in the Reich, and the extremity to which the proud German Well-macht has arrived. "(II)" Lowins ÷. ~ teres se

This G-2 Estimate of 129 19 Outober remarked that "the enemy has shown very little inclination to commit his tanks in this area." Thirty tanks were believed by the Corps as svailable for domnitument.

Actual enemy action during October vas described the Corps After/Action Ferort for that month as "defensive and dinfined to sporadic artillary no norter fibe, minor raids and an accasional ambush."(I,B) Artillary or norter at commentrations were i mediately fired upon Corps troop movements and daytime activities, indicating alertness. Although deep problings were a common occurrence, ther was only one mineable enemy counterattack, la companies, on 7 October, and which was readily repulsed. The German air activity was negligible.

Energy activity continued to be defensive during November, according to the Corps A ter/A tion Report for the nonth. (I,C) There were

112. VIII Co. 1. Critique.

occasional patrole, sporadic artillery and morter fire. Insecuch as the Corps observed considerable shifting of divisions, it believed the enemy was utilizing the sector to brokk in new units. Six German divisions were identified as of 1 No ember, but those found facing the Corps by the month's close were four different mones, the 18th, 26th, 212th, 352 VG Divisions.

新聞の 100mm 100mm

Part of this movement of troops was apparently thoughto have some convection with the V Corps SCHIDT offensive on the north, and the G-2 Periodic for & November discussed this point in its section on ENDER CAPASILITIES. (III.C) It observed: "As a result of the offensive by the V Corps on our north flank the enemy has reacted with victous counters attacks and the fighting around SCHMIDT has now become very fires and a stabborn." He was also reinforcing, but, as of that date, whether these reinforcements were new units or merely troops and material to be incorporated into existing divisional organization had not been established.

Defense continued to be the energy's activity during the first half of December, according to the VIII Corps opinions. Daily G-2 Periodics & 8 December reported defensive, inactive operation, except for a few patrols and light, scattered, harassing NG, artillery, and mortar fire: (L-540(30)) The enexy's inaction was described by the Corps G-2 "stimute \$12, 9 December which summarized: "For the last six weeks, energy activity on the VIII Corps front has been limited to petrolling and sporadic artillery and corter fire. There has been no offensive action on the park of the enemy, although he has sent out patrols occasionally in an effort to penetrate our lines and cours information of the dispositions of our forces. He has stubbornly resisted our efforts to penetrate his defenses, and w my few of our patrols have been able to of inside his lines. There has been a steady turn-sver of enemy divide not units on VIII Corps front - the older divisions being rulled out for committment in an active area to plug a gap, and a new Valkagrenadier division re I sing it in order to gain front line experience, *(11.5) This K timate of 9 December stated there were no reports of con act with enemy tanks, and none of his dividious had organic armor. Rieven battalions of artillery had been committed on the Corps front.

This G-2 Estimate of 9 December summarized the energy ectivity has having been defensive and inactive during the esveral previous wasks. German operations continued to be of that type from 9 December through the 15th. Itily G-2 mampians Periodics described the energy as being defensive, quiet and limited to some patrolling and usual light, scattered harassing small erms, worter, and artillery fires. (IV,A) Baginning with the evening of 13 December, considerable motor traffic was heard daily, including on the 15th, but no interpretative comment was offered on this philosophus. Fromy artillary on 15 December did not suggest attack preparticus, judging by the Corps Artillery Intelligence E port, 142400A-152400A December, which described the eveny as continuing this light scattered shelling in the central and northern part of the Corps Zone. All shellings were reported as harassing in nature. ...*(V,B,Meg.#20, 16 Dec.)

#13. TII darpe. Critique.

W. Significant Evidence of German Offensive Intentions.

PREFACE.

As noted on the divisional level, threeof the four VIII Corps divisions, which were assaulted on 16 December, acquired certain data during the approximate 12-15 December period, which, on their face value might appear indicative of energy of entire plans. Irrespective of their worth, THESE DATA CONSTITUTED THE GROUNDS ON THE DIVISIONAL LEVIL FOR ARI FATEGRATION OF A MAJOR ENERGY ATTACK. By Givinica, however, claimed that it possessed evidence of an impending counteroffensive.

The seeming evidential data acquired by 'he 4th, 28th and 106th; Divisions consisted of the following:

(1) An accelleration of enemy vehicular traffic during approximately 12-15 December:

(2) A laxembourg woman's description of the German build-up;

(3) Statements by four PM's that they had heard resors or bad been informed that a major attack was to be launched between approximately 16-25 December.

For convenience of discussion, these three types of information are divided into seven data—seven instances as it were, been upon sources, although in most cases similar data was provided by multiple sources. For instance, two data were that observed by the 25th and 105th Divisions dealing with observations of increasing news activity. A third data was that furnished by the civilian woman who fled from the Germans and voluntarily gave herself up to the 25th Division's CIO on 14 December. The other four data comprised that of the four Ph's reptured by the 105th and 4th Divisions. Two wounded Ph's from the 18th VG Division were taken on 15 December by the 422d Infantry and the 331st Medical Battalion of the 105th Division. The other two Ph's vere deserters from the 1st Co. 14th Featung MG Battalion, taken by the 2th Infantry, 4th Division, 151830 December.

An extain tion of both divisional and VIII Corps documents resulted in the discovery that the Corps records possessed fuller dotails about these date. They contained come pertinent divisional explanations not included among the records of the respective division. The following discussion will, therefore, embrace both divisional and Corps versions.

In passing, may it be noted that this is fact is an example of the incompleteness of official records of individual consonis. For instance, if a division sent a message to Corps, an important one, it might be found only in the Corps records, not the division's.

- CONCLUSION.

An analysis of the data on op objective a basis as possible yields the conclusion that (1) singlely as or collectively, these seven data

#14. VIII : ps. Critique.

did not provide the requisite, detailed information upon which an American intelligence efficer of a division could reasonably predict a major enemy counterblow. He would need other substantive, supporting information. Regardless of the data's completeness and value, (2) the divisions were deficient in their transmission of the data, whereas the VIII Corps preatly forwarded the meager data it received. Only four of the seven data were sent to the Corps by the divisions, whereas, the Corps at least reported all four data in such distributable media as daily G-2 Periodics.

SU WART.

In view of the dominating fact that these seven data constituted the basic evidence of an approaching German major offensive, these seven data need scruting as to (1) reliability of sources, (2) scope and nature of the actual information, (3) whether or not both the divisions and the Corps forwarded their acquired data for the information and evaluation of higher commands.

(1) Reliability:

The 28th Division considered its woman informer "faility reliable," sithough she was nervous due to a rine explosion. Observations of accellerated enemy truffic by the 28th and 106th Divisions were made and reported officially by their units. Reliability of the two 106th Division Ph's was highly questionable, due to their wounded condition, and because their information was founded upon indefinite hearsay and rusor. Testimony of the 4th Division's two deserter Ph's was derived from pep-talks, not direct orders.

Traffic observations by 28th and 106th Divisions. --- These observations by sight or hearing were made by divisional units.

28th Division's rowan informer. - She was considered "fairly reliable" by the Division, judging by the few checks make on the first day of her custody, but she was highly nervous from a detonated nine, although uninjured.

questionales, due to the PM's mental control, and the embiguity of their information sources. Inserved as both PM's were wounded, surely their physical condition impaired their mental control. This was true at least of the 422d Infantry's PW, who had lost the most of his right leg, and being under morphine was "very inchlerent," according to a late divisional report to the Corps, but unmentioned in the preliminary report to the Corps. Being held by a medical battalion, the other FW's mental control was probably not normal dither. Meither PW provided definite information about precise details obtained from official orders. Although the 422's PM sold he had been informed that the 18th VG Division was being pulled back for attack bristing, he had only brilef, not containty, that he belonged to this unit. Ruper was the source of the other PB'S data.

215. Vil. Gorpe. Critique.

of these two deserters was expressed by the 4th. Their testimony was based upon an orientation talk, which was more in the nature of a pep-talk, an exportation by battalion officers. By the FW's own admission, they did not b lieve the appeal, in view of previous unfulfilled promises that reinforcements would be provided.

(2) Scope of Data:

evaluation and planning purposes. Three data described traffic and equipment coverants, but the instances were isolated and separated geographically, with only a few vehicles invived engage, and demonstrating no coordination, and above all, no recognizable pattern of enemy action. Four data consisted of FF claims of an impending offensive, but which were derived from hearsay and rumor, and completely unsubstantiated by the caption of official orders or plans providing precise details. Neither divisional nor Corps versions of the seven d ta intimated that the information was considered significant, prophetic, and attack symptoms.

Traffic observations by 28th & 106 Divisions. -- Beginning epproximately on 12 December there two divisions noted increasing vehicular traffic in their sectors, especially at night. No importance was attached to it at the time by the 106th Division, however, and the 28th Pivision considered the action normal relief of a division.

28th Division's wran informer. --- She did not mention attack possibilities. Although she described troop and river-erosting equipment coverents in the general violaity, the Division stated that mothing of military significance was observed on her main route, a foot path from VIADES to BITBURG.

Fhon the 28th's records were examined, the only source of information concerning the nature of her data was the post-carpaign Sombat Interview with divisional officers by an official combat historian(Lt. H.G. Jackson), who mentioned that the woods towards BITSUNG were full of German equipment. On that banis, the woman's testimony a peared significanct. However, the Corps records possessed the 26th's own measage to the Gorpe 0-2 at 2320 on LA December, the day of her surrender, which stated; " art of her return trip was along foot paths and nothing of military significance was observed. This return trip was the route to which the coatat bistorian referred. Mevertheless, this moran described considerable troop and river-arcssing equipment movements in the VIANDEM to BITBURG ares during the several previous days, which impressed the fivision G-2, judging by the specific questions he stipulated for the interrogation. She did not mention an impending attack, nor did the Davision seem to consider the possibility as the result of her descriptions of enemy build-up.

vas uncertein of his unit's designation, but underst od that is was to be pulled out and briefed for a 17-25 December attack, and the other PW heard a rusor of an impending major attack.

116. VIII - Te. Critique.

The 422d Infantry's PK, the very seriously injured one, believed he belonged to the 18th VG Division, but was uncertain, and had arrived on the front on 13 December. He had been informed (source not stated) that the 18th VO Division as being pulled out for briefing and attack 17-25 December. According to the 331st Hedical Battali n's PK, he head heard a rumor of a large scale attack to be launched between 17-25 December, definitely before Christmas. His unit had relieved another company, and had been told it would be on the line only four or five days then pulled back.

The references to prospective attacks b tween 17-25 December were the salient and virtually solo information provided by thes two PC's, but no details were given, as aid to the American intelligence officers' evaluation of the enemy's intentions.

4th <u>livision's two Pringer</u> Attack evidence was limited to an orientation talk by battelion of fivers, which was an exhortation, of an explanation of assignment.

These two described a sort of repetalk by bet alion(Festing Bn.) officers who stated that reare and two Infantry divisions were in the rear to execute an offensive of major proportions. The front line troops were warned to held out until these forces arrived. Reaction of the troops was a shoulder chrug and the tought that unkept promises concerning reinforcements had been made before. Their hattelion(Festing) relieved an unknown unit of reignental strength, whose mission was not definitely known. One PV thought this unit was sent to the rear for training, the other to a more setive front, already well equipped.

(3) Forwarding of Patas

For proper evaluation purposes, a practical distinction reculs to be drawn between the essential character of the seven data, which fell into two grows. Non-personal data, such as the 22th and 106th Pivisions' official, organizational observations of an accelleration of enemy vehicular traffice, would be expected to be naturally reported in official descriptive issuances such as daily G-2 Periodics. On the other and, personal data acquired from individuals through a urrender, capture, ato, as represent by the 28th's woman informer and the 4th and. 106th Divisions' four PW's, could logically be expected to be reported not only by prescribed issuances, but by informal messages and telephone phone, as expeditious media of motification. If a PW or informer gave import no information, a command would be expected to forward it promptly to its uperfor for information and evaluation. In this respect, this personal category of data was, therefore, highly pertinent, and, of the seven data instances five were of this type. A statistical survey of both groups informs that the divisions were very deficient in formerding the personal kapla data type, where the VIII Corps met its responsibility; and, both divisions and the Corps forwarded their non-personal data.

417. If pros. Critique.

Personal Types --- Of the five personalized data from the civilian roman and the four Pf's, the three divisions 28th, 106th and 4th respectively, forwarded the data to the VIII Corps in only two instances. In both cases, the forwarding medium was by resuage. None of the five instances was reported in the daily G-2 Periodics of the glove divisions. The VATA Corps, in contrast, promptly reported the two cases in its G-2 Periodic for the day, but no Corps sessage to First Army was located. Furtherwore, in the case of the woman's information, the Corps reported it is mediately in two other documents, in the distributed daily Intelligence Summary and in the G-2's informal, typed daily review, titled SUFMAX.

typed daily review, titled SUFWAY.

Non-personal Type: --- Both the 28th and the 106th
Divisions preparly reported their observation of increasing vehicular
waffic in their daily G-2 Periodics. In fact, the descriptions were
cometimes detailed. Finaliarly, the VIII Corps recorded these divisional
data in the own G-2 Periodics, which were doubtless distributed to
higher commands for information.

28th Division's rown informer. --- Her date was reported to the Corps by message in hour after the Division G-2 hisself was netified, and the Corps was sessingly kept well informed about the progress of her once.

Information did not indicate when she was captured on 14 Pecember, but at least as of 2220 the Pivision C-2 knew about her, incomen as he discussed her case with the C/S. Then, apparently the first notice the VIII Corps G-2 acquired of her was a detailed preliminary report mescaged by the Division G-2 on hour later, at 2320. Calls were exchanged the next day, the 15th, and at 1305 the Corps G-2 commanded that she not be released except on his order, which was given at 22254 when the Division requested permission to sent her to the First Army cage for further interrogation. Although the 28th Division did not report her in its G-2 Periodic for 14 December, the Corps did in its own periodic and in its Intelligence swarry, 1418004-1824004 December, as well. Furthermore, the Corps G-2 included a five-line resume of her information in his informal typed dully review, SWELEI, 1404004-1500004 December.

reported only one of its two Free, but was prompt in that instance, having notified the Corps by message 36 minutes after the Division G-2 learned of the case. The information from the 422d Infantry's PW was forwarded to the Corps at 2006 on 15 December, 36 minutes after the Division's receipt of initial data from the regiment. The Division's G-2 Periodic for the day did not report the incident, but the Corps Friedds did record it in a paragraph surmary. We evidence was located that the data of the 331st Medical Bat align's PT was forwarded to the Corps, in either message for or in the Division's G-2 Periodic for the lay.

#18. VIII Corps. Critique.

deserters taken at 1830 on 15 December was not transmitted to the VIII Corps, by either message or the Division's G-2 Periodic for the day. In fact, although the evidence was not conclusive, apparently the Division G-2 did not learn himself of the PM's claim of an impending attack until his receipt of the regimental interrogation team's report about midnight. Of course, the Corps intelligence issuancescarried no report.

AMALISIS BY SURJECTS

The foregoing discussion of the Significant Evidence of German Offensive Intentions was less of a recapitulation than a consideration of the overall aspects of this evidence, such as reliability, scope, and whether forwarded or not. At the risk of repetition, the following section deals with this evidence according to its subject matters Observation of Traffic by 28th and 106th Divisions; Civilian Information; PW Information Obtained by the 106th and 4th Divisions. This section has the merit of descriptively coordinating the various data pertaining to each individual evidence, and providing citations to the DOCHMENTATION. It draws together the several bits of information on these subjects that are dispersed in the DOCHMENTATION. Chronological details will be found in this section, which encompasses both divisional and Corps documents in the interest of completness.

Observation of Traffic by 28th and 106th Pivisions. —— Reginning approximately on 12 December, two VIII Corps divisions noted an accellaration of enemy vahicular activity, particularly during darkness, within their sectors, as noted on the divisional level. No significance was attached to this enemy effort, although the two divisions were impressed by it. It was reported only on their daily G-2 Periodica. Neither divisional nor Corps records contained messages sent to the Corps calling attention to this activity. The VIII Corps cognizance of this enemy effort we reflected only in its daily G-2 Periodics, which mentioned the occurrences on the Corps front. Only the fact of occurrence was reported, without interpretative commentary by the Corps.

One of the two divisions was the 106th, which reported in each daily G-2 Persodic, 12-15 December, and in its retro-pective After/* tion R port for December and post campain Combat Interviews with ranking officers, that unusual motor activity was heard, particularly during darkness. (Div. Level, p. 25, 113-114) Although the observed activity was described in the current G-2 Periodics, they did not express epinions as to the meaning of the activity, if any. The only estimates of significance were made later by officers in Combat Interviews, who expressed the received-tion that the vehicular movements were not regarded as important at the time.

Division was also aware of increasing enemy activity, especially vehicular, during December, but sainly during the last few days prior to 16 December. (Div. Level, p. 28, 147-148) Detailed descriptions were

reported in the daily G-2 Periodics, about hearing or seeing various numbers of vehicles containing troops and/or equipment, moving in specified directs. No extraord'nary significance was attached to this activity. Perhas the opinions of the CO and the S-3, 112th Infantry, as expressed in a Gombat Interview during January 1945 were representative of the attitude. The considered this traffic normal for relief of divisions, according to the precedent instance of three weeks previously, which demonstrated similar characteristics.

Similary to the 105th and 28th Divisions, the VIII Corps mentioned, in less detail however, the various vehicular movements in its daily G-2 Periodics.(IV,A) Reither the divisions nor the Corps referred to the motor movements in their periodics as being increasedy. They were simply noted as facts. The interpretative element of being described as accellerating, was performed by the After/Action Reports and Combat Interviews of the two divisions, whereas, no commentary of any form was ventured by the Corps.

Givilian Infernation: --- Information about the enemy from civilian sources, as obtained by the divisions, consisted of the data supplied by a woman taken into custody by the 28th Division on 14 December. (Div. Level, p. 161-163; V.B. IV.A) A report was made to the Corps, and her statements were of distinct interest to both the 28th Division and Corps' G-2's, but the records of the two commands differed as to dircums a mores of her being taken into custody, and, more importantly, as to her information.

The following chronology traces the divisional and Corps events respect this woman and her informations

(1) Official divisional records did not indicate when and how the woman fell into the 28th's custody. But, a post-campaign same cript describing the 28th's operation in the Counteroffensive, by an official combat historian (Lt. H.G. Jackson), based substantially upon Combat Interviews with participating officers, make stated: "On 14 December, a woman who had been captured by the Germans and later escaped, turned herself in to the CIC. She said she had been taken as far back as BITBURG and that the woods were full of German equipment."

(2) Divisional records recorded that at 2220 the Division G-2

called the C/S about a woman's interrogation.

(3) Then, apparently the G-2 notified the Corps G-2 about her, at 2320, an hour later, imakeuch as the Corps records contain the message sent by the 28th to the Corps G-2, Because of its detail of description beyond the combat historian's statement, in fact a contradiction of it, this message is cited:

The means following is a preliminary interrogation of a lauxembourg woman who had been interrogated by the 28th Inf. Div.

REF 4D: A557.7.61.

#20. VIII Corps. Critique.

BINNELS F9052 where her home is to pick up clothes on December 16th. However, while there a German ron patrol took her into custody. She was first taken to BAUL'R P9053 and given a preliminary interrogation. She was then taken to GEICHLEGHE 19451. Between these two points she observed no traffid. However, at Geichlingen she observed many trucks and horse-drawn vehicles, pontoons, and small boats and other river-crossing materiel. In addition the observed namy arty pieces, some of which were borse-drawn end other truckdrawn. She was again interrogated at Bithurg and while in this town she observed many troops in light gray uniform with black co larg(\$\$ Troops). In Bitburg she heard from conversations of troops that it had taken them 3 weeks to get here from Italy. She observed heavy milit my traffic moving toward Bettingen P9450 and Obervois 10552. She reports having seen no armor during this trip. She was told that she was to proceed to TRIER by train, 2 of which left Bithurg daily, organ the morning and one at 2000A. She escaped at Bithurg, proceeding back toward our lines via Oberweis 12522 10552. Muxereth P9555 to Berscheid and thence to Bauler P9053. Woman then want to Vianden where she was picked up taken acrooss the river. Fart of har return trip was along foot paths and nothing of military significance was observed.

28th Div considers the informant fairly reliable from what few checks they have so far been able to make. Woman's condition is highly nervous having stepped on a trip wire which detonated mime. However, she was not injured. Further check and interrogation is continuing and complete report will be submitted as early as possible.

(4) The 28th Division did not menti n this woman's surrender nor her information in its G-2 Periodic for 14 December, but the VIII Gorps summarised her data in approximately ten lines in its G-2 Periodic of that day. Moreover, the Corps Intelligence Summary, 141800A-142400A December, carried a five-line summary. Both documents presumably procured their information from the 28th Divisions message of 2320 hours.

(5) Another records was kept of this incident by the Corps G-2, in his informal, typed daily review, titled SUNFART, for the period of 140800A to 150800A December. This was a fixe-line resume of the woman's

day, as did the Cor s. At 1045, an officer, probably of the interrogation team, called the Division G-2 that he had further information. The G-2, however, gave the Lieutenant nine questions to ask the woman about what she saw, types of boatsand equipment, etc. At 1450, the Lieutenant called the G-2 that he had a complete report and would deliver it shortly. (The quasers to the G-2's questi ns were not contained among the Division's records.) In the meantime, almost two hours previously, at 1350, the VIII Copps G-2 had called the Division G-2 and inquired about the scenar that crossed our lines the other day. He required a thorough investigation of her reliability, of ered Corps aid, and ordered that she not be released except on his order and to inform him of the investigation's progress. The Division G-2 called the Corps G-2 at 22254, stating that the woman was consisted reliable, but requested permission to send her

REF ID: A557761

#27 VII. krye. Critique,

to the Arry for further interregation, which was granted. The next sorning, 160900, a OIC officer was given the Division's polarisation to 'ske hereo the Pirst Part cage.

Fi Information Obtained by the 105th Division. -- Records of this Division noted the capture of two worded Pare from the 18th TO livingion on 15 December, who referred to a possible German offensive between 17-25 December (Div. bevel, p. 111-113) In the case of one FP, his information was ferwarded to the VIII Corps 36 minutes after the Division learned of the data, but there was no evidence that the other PM's data was sent to Corps.

capture d by the 422d Infantry, in account of his capture was dependent upon the divisional records and post-campaign Combat Interviews, increased as the regimental documents were destroyed or captured when the 422d Infantry itself was capture on 19 Decemer. E Co. of the 2d Battalian fired upon a German patrol, and picked up a Pole wound in the foot. He had arrived on the front on the afternion of the 14th, relieving an unknown unit, which was to be pulled out and briefed for an attack along the entire front between 17th and Christmas. The PW did not know if the front was to be regimental, division, e to. He had beard runors that SS troops would particip to, but did not know their location. Searchlights were to be used.

The information from this PG was reserved by the Division at 1936, and assessed to the VIII Grope 36 stantes later, at 2006. No report on this Fh has included in the 186th's G-2 Periodic for the 15th or the 16th, which were distributed to the Corps.

The woulded FF held by the 2921x Jilet Medical Esttalion was also from the 18th VG Pivision, and was cappured sometime on the 15th. He related that he had heard a rupor of a large-scale a teck to be launched between 17-25 Decomber, and definitely before Christmas. He was an infantryman, and want on the line when another German company moved out, but had been told he would be on the line only four or five days then pulled back! Thes PR report was made by the 8-2, 331st Medical Battalion, and entered in the Division G-2 Journal 152200 December. No mention was made of it in either the G-2 Periodic for the day or the 16th, or in other pertinent records. Nor was there indication that the Corps was informed of this incorrogation.

As noted above, the Corps was notified about only the 4221 Infantry's PW, not about that of the 331st Medical Bettalion. Data about the former PW was reported in the Corps G-2 Periodic for 15 December, in a paragraph su mary (IV,A) Inseruch as the 106th did not report the PW is its G-2 Periodic for the day, which would be distributed to Corps, the sources of the Corps initial information was apparently the 106th's message of 2006. Further details were obtained by the 106th that night, then which were reported to the Corps by message the next marning, the koth, at approximally 0000 (V,B). The precise time of this message's receipt was undeterminable in the Corps records, and was not mentioned in the divisional ones.

According to this new information, the PW was Polish, a deserter, was seriously importing injured in a forman minefield. He was uncertain of his unit, but believed he bolonged to the 18th VG Division, and had arrived or the front on 13 December. He was informed that the 18th VG Fivision was being pulled out to be briefed and prepared for an attack between 17-25 December. This reseage included other data corresponding to that already reported. The 10th added: "Evaluation: Phe condition very serious having lost most of his right leagued being under the influence of morphine was very incoherent."

Supplementing the Corps 13 December N=2 Periodic's six-line paragraph description of this PW's information, the Corps Consolidated Interrogation Report of 16 December annexed to the G=2 Periodic of that day, carried the details of hig information (IV_C)

from the let Co., A4th Festung MG Ba'talion were taken by the let Pritalion, Eth Infantry at 1830 on 15 December. (Piv. Level, p. 182,189, 204) Imong other data about their organization, these two Ph's described an orientation talk two days privously during which was stated that a Panzer and two Infantry divisions were in the rear, and would execute an offensive of sajor proportions. The front line troops were varied to hold out until these forces errived. The troops reaction, however, was a shoulder shrung and the thought that unkept promises had been made before. Their battalion relieved an unknown unit of regimental strength. One IV relieved the old unit h d no training and was being sent to the rear for training, the other PW though this unit was well equipped and therefore cent to a zore active front.

G-2's knowledge of this information was not obtained until about midnight of the 15th, and there was no evidence that it was repoted to the Comps. (Ibid.) A preliminary report that the capture has occurred at 1830 was made by the 8th Infantry to the Division G-2 at 2135, indicating also that the 8th did not yet have information from them. Then, information about their organization obtained from the two PW's was summarized in a mass go to the G-2 at 2300 from the regiment, but no mention was made about the impending attack claim. A report named to mention was claim was not available to the Division until its probably midnight receipt of the distributed 8th Infantry's IPN Team #34's Report for 151800-152400 December.

did not provide evidence that these PM's information was for arrived to the VIII Corps by message, no. were their capture and/or data mentioned in the 4th Division's C-2 Periodics for 15,16, 17 December, which the Corps doubtless received. The Corps G-2 was aware of the capture, nevertheless, but his information was apparently limited, and did not include the PM's mention of a possible, planned attack. Inserved as the details about the PM's was contained in the Sth Infantry IPM Team #34's Report, perhaps the Corps produced its information from that source. The Corps was not listed for distribution, only the Battalian, 29th FA Bn., S-2, S-1, and the Division. However the information was

\$23. VIII " e. Critique.

esquired, the Corps G-2 Periodic #181, 142400A=152400A, took notice of the capture incident in its New Identifications listing under Units in Contact: *TW from lat Co., 44th Festung KG Ba relieved a unit of ragt size in this rector one week ego. (Corport: If true, regt involved would be the 320th Inf Regt, 212th VG Div.)* (IV.A)

WI. Corps Concern Over Lack of PW Information.

According to the VIII Co ps Consolidated Interrogation Reports attached to dail Ge? Periodice, 36 Individuals were interrogated within the Corps some during 1-15 December, excluding 11 taken on 14-15 Procedure the obviously lacked attack information, inscende as they were attaching a return to Germany from the distant METZ forts. (1-54-(30)) Of these Minat least 20 were designated as desertors. In contrast, the divisions reported among their records the sustedy of 32 PW's, some of whom were desertors. (Div.Level, p.5) Some of the Corps reported PM's were doubtless apprehended by Corps troops.

The above statistics were compiled with the care paralited by the indequary of P4 descriptions, but exactitude was unlikely. An error was probbly an everyoust, caused by the train among interrogation reports to discuss the same PH on different days, and the same cases any have been counted taken. Neither divisional nor Corpo records provided compilations, and statistics was necessarily dedoudd, as carefully as possible.

According to a Sombat Interview with the Corps G-2 during Jamusry 1945, the lack of PV's and the failure of patrols to take them had been a verry to the Corps. (VII,*) Raids were ordered by the Corps, and the 25th Division and except the corps G-2 said he cont to that Division and dispussed the subject with its Sommarding General. He remarked that the raid was positived external times, but never "pulled off." Ferhaps not to the Corps G-2's knowledge, the raid was executed. Only one PS was captured, the event of which was noted in the Ref Periodics of 4 December of with the 25th Division and VIII Corps. Neither command, however, associated this PV with the raid in their respective issuances. The manner of the Corps requisition of information about the PN was not evident from the records.

Friefly, the chain of events concerning this raid were the following (L-540(30); Div. Level, p. 153,166-167) The 28th's CG was decoderned over the lack of PV information, but the inspiration for his rowny was not clear. He was ocheared during early December, and patrix vers inprecised with a company size one (Co. A. 109th Infantry) was cent over the DUR R ver on 3 recomber, returning at 1400 the next with only one PV, from the 6th Co., 915th UCR, 352th VC ivigion. He confirmed that his regiment had relieved the 916th Regiment on the east side of the CUR.

\$24. VIII - his Critique.

The 23th's G-2 Periodic for the 4th mentioned his capture and information, but the Copy periodic for the day mentioned his capture only. Then, the Gorps consolidated Interrogation Report, annexed to the periodic of 6 Desceber, provided a detailed account of his information, concluding with this sentence "PV claims the troops were told that when the show falls and the cold there are the German will launch a big offensive on the Pertorn Pront, ad the American troops cannot stand a severa plimate."

A less concerned attitude towards PW's was expressed by Maj. Gen. Troy H. Middleton, CG of the Corps, during a Combat Interview on 19 January 1955. (VII,D) Because of this differing view from that of the G-2 siec expressed during a combat Interview during the same month, rute is taken of the interview's directances for any bearing they. Fight have upon accessly. According to the combat historian (Capt. E.B. Ciark), no notes were taken during the interview, but were issociately after the 40 simula conversation. The General was "extravely friendly and understarling."

"Gen. Middleton said that every day up to the time the Germans attacked, the Corpa got at least one prisoner from its front. But he said that private from the divisions maked conduct be expected to get lope on enery strength back a round BITPUNG. He said that at all times they knew all the enery divisions in contact, but the Germans keep as much about our shifts since they were just as active and had patoin in our lines every night. They knew we were using the area, the VIII Corps front, as a rest and rehabilitation area."

Hoborn Regarding the General's claim about identification of energy divisions, please note that the Corps had identified only four of the ten seasuiting divisions prior to the 16 December, so discussed in the section in this Critique titled: Initial Recognition of the Assault's Gravity. His analysis of the energy's strength did not conform to the facts. He made a further illusingting remark during an interview with Captain Clark on another occasion, on 20 April 1945, when the Captain Clark on another occasion, on 20 April 1945, when the Captain went to see him about other subjects but the conversation informally passed to various topics, including this: "Gen. Middleton said he could very much like to talk to some General officers to see what the corps really was hit by. He doesn't believe it was anything like the 14 or 16 divisions that were identified in the first two days. Four or five divisions would have been enough to do what they did against two sermout and one brand-new divisions (plus a little armor), helding a 85-mile front. (VII.6)

VII. Air Reconnaissance by the Corps.

Among the five VIII Corps divisions discussed on the division level(Div. Level, r. 7), the 28th division referred to air recommissance by Amer can forcer, and it noted that weather prevented patrols for the nost part, and when planes did fly, they observed little energy schivity, none of it suggestive of a large attack. 235. VIII Jo. . . Cantingue.

According to the VIII Corps daily G-2 Periodics this was the case. (IV, I) Bid vertice reduced the recommissance to vegity bility. We existed nor their recommissance to vegity bility. We existed nor their recommissance to vegity bility. We exist a for their resonance received the form on 10 of the days unring the 1-5 December period, due to mether. Even on the other days all massions were ancelled except the following ferm 3 December--1000 hour mission; bits—one Corps and one artillery recommissance recalled due to weather; lither-only one Tac/R mission; 15th—two Tac/R missions were abortive due to we ther. The observed activity was minor, consisting of missions of the trains and of a few motor vehicles, locations of the field fortifications, and on the lith considerable rail activity and note fall positions in the TAILE area.

VIII Commanding Consells Pre-Counteroffensive Evaluation.

THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF Maj. Gen. From H. Middleton, the VIII Corps Commanding General preceding and during the Battle of the Ardennes, expressed post-campaign uplations upon the Corps and the enemy's pre-Counteroffensive potentialities to the Historical Section, European Theater of Operations. (VII. B. C. D.) In response to a series of questions submitted by the Theater Ristorian, Col. S.L.A. Marchall, concerning the Corps participation in the informed Cighting, General Middleton engrand them in a five-page letter on 30 July 1945. Most of the questions and answers dealtwith the operations subsequent to the initial assault on 16 Pacember. Two quertions, however, partained to the pre-Counteroffensive period, and, insometh as they were self-explanatry, they are citied verbatia. The Gazeral alexade various observations during two Combat Interviews held with him on 13 January 1945 and 20 April by Capt. L. B. Clark of the 3d 'n'orm thon & Historical Fervice, which was attached to the Third U.S. Arry, but directed by the Thetier Historian. Those remarks rawsk likewise extracted and cited verbatim.

A. Questions by Theater Historian, and Answers by General Middleton:

"4. During the ten weeks of lunctivity on the VIII Corps front before 15 December were any stops taken to prepare reserve defence positions" Was permission sought from First U.S. Army to withdraw to a stronger defence line in the event of a heavy attack?

MA Sufficienttroops were not available to organize a some defense. Troops available were, in fact, hardly wors than enough for an outpost. He had, in f ct, a deployed defense covering key approaches. The outpost was quite thin in order to have some local reserves and a few reserves for reglacital and division commanders. Units were used extensively. General Robertson, 2nd Division; told me it would take the 2nd Division a week to pick up the units on the front he turned over to the 106th Division.

There was not a stronger defensive postion than the one held exept on the orthern part of the 106th Division front. Several changes were made on this front and on the left of the front occupied by the 28th Division in order to add strength to the postion. This was

July 711 Burn. Contidation

come and ther reported to kery. Army did not vent the bulge held by the 10% to Talvislas through the dispirited hims given up. A chromer perfect for soul, have been one justified hims given up. A chromer through the biggirled hims and have gone back several alles to the position while biggirled hims and have gone back several alles to the position while have been feeligh. Then the Cornan attack got under may on the 16th I advised Ceneral Jones that I thought it best to mithdraw to the rear postion. Jones did not consider a withdrawal proper. He felt that he could hold. He was on the ground, I was not. As it turned out he made a mistake. It was an honest one. I thank he is a good Comander. He had a fighting heart. I did not order Jones to withdraw at that time for I full that he like not cloud local conditions than I did. In fast he did, he it decloped it just happened that my hunch was right. Then some decided to withdraw his left it is too late."

capability of a large-could forman offensive in the heat(the likely rea of attack was given as the Mikk Roermon-Cohleiden area, north of the VIII Corps sector, did Gen. Addleton take any steps to alert his divisions, shift reserves or request add tional troops from First any or was the corps smallered to be as well propared as possible to neet such as acteck?

(((N.E. - The interpolation within parentheris in the

above paragraph were part of the manuscript.)))))

A. The Commanders were allays kept abreast of the situation. Just prior to December Loth, the First Army took a combat command from the 9th Armored Division, we reserve, and gave it to the V Corps. I then neved the Reserva Combat Command, 9th Armored, from the south to the north to back up the right of the 106th Division and the left of the 28th Division. This Command took station near Trois Verges, Selgium-Vo vegues that hade to First Army for troops because the First Army as taking troops from the VIII.

"The high command could not have been alorsed about my SE-mile front because during this period I was directed to put on a december program in order to draw more enemy divisions to my front. (Note: I should have been regarded for a successful program). Three infantry divisions on an SE-mile front backed up by part of an armored division could never be sell pippared to meet a full scale enemy attack. There was not any belong insolar as I know, on the part of G-2 personnel that a German attack would be made on VIII Corps limbt."

M.B. === The FUSA document referred to in the Questions was the First .rmy's G-2 Estimate #37, 10 December. The rollowing entracts are made to indicate the substants of this documents

*1. SU : ARY OF THE SITUATION.

"a. Ceneral. Since the public tion of G-2 Estimate
No. 36, dated 20 Nevember 44, the energy are stubbornly contested
every foot of ground in the some of action of the first W.S. Army. ...
Back of the line of the ROLE he has intensified his delense zone by

fortafication in dorth, converting each village into a strongpoint, and had autably observables of the Crimit field fortifications on the line of the EMFI. ... It is plain that his strategy in defense of the Roich is based on the exhaustion of our offensive to be followed by an all-one counterattack with armor, between the ROTA and the FRET, surprise by every weapon he can bring to beer. The auspension of V-1 fire from the BAUNDLANK AREA and the MONN eiter is believed to be based on this up of his rallroads for the bringing in of new Volkeyr-nadiar divisions were of the FHIM and for the buildup of the necessary artillarand may lied to support his all-out gro nd counterst teck. It is apparent that You Rundsteds, who obviously is combisting military operations without the benefit of intuition, has skill's ly defended and hisbanded his forces and in preparing for his part in the all-out application of every meanon at the foral point and the correct time "a address defines of the Roich most of the RHIM by inflicting as reset a differt on the Allies as possible. Indications to date point to the langtion of this focal poin on being between ROMMOND and SCRIEIDER. and within this breaket this concentrated force will be applied to the Allied force judged by the German High Command to he the greatest threat to successful defense of the Reich." (Source: FUSA, G-2 Pariodics & Estimates, Doc. 144. In 1-555(22)

B. Interview of 19 Jan. 1945.

(Combat Historian's statement: "No notes were taken during interview, but notes were made immediately after its constrains. The interview lasted for approximately 40 minutes. New Maddleton was extremely friendly and understanding ")

Treasping the 106th Inc Civ-

"The line held by the 106th was the most difficult one to defaul on the Coros front. The two northern regiments, 422d and 423d, in the script Riffel area rese through the SIEGFRIED Live in exposed yor'tien. Gen Nikkliten expected that if an attack came on his front it amale come in the sector of the 106th Dive(Tep out of the 13 Bas of Corps Anty were in surjort of the 196th Div.) The Gen said that when the 24 Inf fily, which was the first division to occurr the line held by the 196th 16th Dec. took over the line he said that two bettalions! postions we see accored that it we impossible to re-ch them in the daytize that all supply had to be done at night. He requested permission to withdraw from this ground, but the High Command was reluctant to give up even these uncound positions. On his one authority at a later date he did withdraw the two exposed battallons, and the Corps Engineers executed devoltaions on approximately 25 pillboxes in the SIZOFRIED Line so that the energy could not recovery and rever these fortifications. He said he never was directly communed for this action, but he believed that it on not viewed favorablyby the Righ Corvand.

"Total pleaten and orders from First W. Airy." Gen Publishen's reaction to my statement that it was

rather difficult to 'defend in place', with was the Corps mission in its sector -- was to state that there is no answer to the definese problem of an 68-mile front with 32 divisions, the Corps reserve consiting of a handful of engineer battalions. We said the engineers did a magnificent job. He said 'se teach' a 7000-8000 yd front for defense. He said he has been protesting against side fronts during his who le combat experience in the 270. In SICILI he had 45 miles with one division. In ITALI he also had every wide front, He said he remarked then to Sen Bradley that some day we were going to get into trouble with our wide fronts. This was it.

When asked what orders or change of mission received from First US Army after the strongth of the attack was realised, the Gen said that he talked to the C/S First US Army (Maj. Gen Kean) and received orders to 'fold with the attack.' (I am fairly but not absolutely positive of the exact wording of this quote, LBC) Gen said he never did understand exactly what this directive meant.

"G=2 Situation.

*Gen Middleton said that every day up to the time the Germans attacked, the Corps got at least one prisoner from its front. But he said that patrols from the divisions could't be expected to get dope on energy atrength back around BITBURG. He said that witz at all times they knew all the enemy divisi as in contact, but the Germans knew as much about our shifts since they were just as active and had patrols in our lines every night. They know we were using the area, the VIII Corps front, as a rest and rehabilitation area.

"Regarding the simulated presence of the 75th Inf Div on his front, Gen Middleton said he never had approved of 'rubber Buck' operations, and that knowing the stimugth of what was on his investate front, he was less than usually enthusiatic about this particular

cular operation (operation 'COBLEMIZ').

"City of Luxenbours. "The Gen said that we were always concerned about the 4th Div on the south and its ability to hold and defend the city of mmemberry. He said that this division was short 1400-1500 infantrymen at the time of the German attack, and that if the Germans had ever followed up the attack which they started down the street line SCHWARZIENTZ (I'm not absolutely sure this is the stance he meant since he indicated it on the map for only a moment.) It might have been serious. ...

Control of the second second

II. Initial Recognition of Assault's Gravity.

Sugary. -- Corps Intelligence Susparies, issued four times daily. described operations, but did not express opinions on the new attack's meaning; and the First Aray's ISON for the period ending 160900 simply described operations of the V, VII, VIII Corps, without being suggestive as to the energ's intentions. Econorer, during the evening of the 16th, the VIII Corps acquired the texts of the Commander-in-Chief West's Order of the Day proof laing the Counteroffensive to the German forces, and some other malated dominants. But the Corps G-2 Periodic for the day seemed to disrogm d the documents' significance, and stated that the current enemy capability was counterattacking to restore lost positions.

THE PARTY AND TH

The state of the s

REF ID: A557761

\$29, VIII | ps. Cristque.

The Commanding General expressed the thought during a Combat Interview that be realized the attack's weight by the morning of 17 December, and the G-2 admitted in an interview that the attack was a surprise to him.

Corps Intelligence Summaries. -- The first report that the VIII Gorps made concerning the German onslaught of about 0530 on 16 December was in its ISBM \$286, at 0800.6 or the period 252400A-160800A December. (1-540(8) Feg. \$76) In the 106th Division's area light artillery and nortar fire began at 0530A upon the IFE, and the 424th Infantry received heavy ertillery and sortar fire at 0540. An estimated occupany was reported in the sector at 0600A. One tank with 75 was in white suits reved against ERETINKIE, and at 0815A tribes tanks and anestimated occupany was received at 0630A. There were no operational changes in the sectors of the 9th Armored and 4th Infantry Divisions.

As noted, this initial ISIM described operations, but did not advance opinions of the action's significance. Some consideration of the periousness of the energy's ction was denoted in the succeeding ISIM #257, issued at 1200 for the OSOOA-1200A pariod. (V,B, Mag. #77) Regarding the 106th Division's sector, it reported, "enemy launched an attack, scope and objectives as yet undetermined, on N and S flanks at 0700A. Heavy arty fire preceded the attack, which employed infantry supported by tanks. ..." The resainder of this ISIM for 0800-1200 was descriptive of the divisions' operations, which were defense and receipt of enemy artillery in the 28th, 9th Armored, and 4th Divisions' sectors.

This slight reference to the offensive's scope and objective as stated in the ISUM issued at 1200 was the only such covent in the Corps ISUMS during 16 December. (V,B) ISUM's for 1200A-1800A, 1800A-2400A morely described operations, and no consideration was given to overall scope and objectives. The same was true of the ISUM \$290, 162400A-170800A.

Heanwhile, various data were flowing into the Corps providing more or less evidence for opinion formulation.

FUSA ISSU: --- Only limited sid for evaluation purposes was furnished by the First Army's Intelligenc Susmary for the period ending 0900, and which was not received by the Corps G-2 untul 1338A by telegram. (V.S. Mag. 179) This ISSE contained data from all FUSA corps, and those from the V and VII Cor s were as follows, but their information was not particularly suggestive, at least as such so as that of the VIIIth: "Usual amount of artillery fire reported by V Corps in BERGSTEIN(F0633) area at 0570A. Considerable artillery fire in Corps southern sector. Sporadic arty fire reported in MAIMEDI(A7804). VII Corps sector: MARIABELER(F0497) and HOVEN(0849) at 0570A received increased arty fire. Artillery fire continued all night in KUFFERATH (F1040) and MAMIMEREE BEREBUIER(F1041) area. South of MARIANE LER energy counterattack started at 0600A repulsed by 0800A.* The VIII Corps account was summarized in the Gerps own ISUM for 152400A-160800A, previously described.

fpt. VIII . ps. uptilqus.

This First Arst USYN fo the period ending at 0900 cas the only FUSA ISUN recoived by the Corps during the day, nor did the Corps records indicate informational reseages from FUSA.

Ganiured German Orders. --- Of high significance was the acquistion by the Corps of the Order of the Day by the Commander-in-Chief Wort, lé December, proclaiming the Counteroffensive. The Sorps first received the text at 2120 when the V Corps Special Intelligence Summary for 1600 errived, and which contained the text. (1-540(8), 16 Dec. Keg.#90) This Order of the day and several other revealing documents were captured by the 1-6th Division, and they were in the Corps possession as of 251822 23104. (V.B. 16 Dec., Fag. #100)

According to the translation by the O/S Team #44, of the 106th of G-2 section, this Order of the Pay issued by Fieldsarshal Von Bundstodt declared:

"Soldiers of the Emilian West Front!

"Your great hour has arrived.

"Large attacking armies have started against the Anglo-Americans."

"I do not have to tell you anything more on that.

"You feel it yourself.:

"You carry with you the holy obligation to give everything to achieve things beyond human possibilities for Our Father and Our Fuhrer!

Eon Runstedt C in C West General Peldwarshall*

Although the V Corps Special ISEV quoted only this CinC Order of the Day, the collection of documents from the 206th Division also included the Orders by the Army Group B's commander and the Sixth Panser Army, a document explaining Operation GREIF, and several minor papers.

Corns G-2 Periodic, 16 Percepter. --- Win Cognizance was taken of these documents, but seemingly no more than that, in the Corps G-2 Periodic #182, for 15240CA-16240CA December. (IV,6)

of the 16th provided data (1) maked the fact that the Corps had notably underestimated the energy's strength, and (2) felt that the attack of the day was only a counterattack to restore lost positions, (3) estensibly disregarding in its opinion the scope of the assault as announce by the Commander-inschief hast's Order of the ay, the text of which has possedssed by the Corps G-2.

40. VIII com, Critique.

Analysis of the unite in contact list d in this G-2 Periodic for 16 December marks the fact that the Corps had previously identified only four of the ten enemy divisions which struck during the 16th. The 18th, 26th, 212th, 352d VG Pivisi no and the 44th Festung EG Battali n were known to face the Corps. Yet, six other divisions also assaulted during the day, six divisions whose proximity was completely unknown to the Corps. They were the 62d, 560th, 276th VG Divisions, the 2d and 116th Panzer Divisions, and the 5th Para Division.

This four to ten securely ratio of pre-identification to setual assault divisions on the Corps level, agreed with the divisional level ration of four to ten. (Div. Level, p. 7) According to the divisional records, the 106th Division knew of only one of three, the 26th two officers, the 4th accurately identified its single assault division, but the 9th Armored was assaulted by a difference division than that praviously relieved to be in its sector. In general, the Corps was awars of the presence of all enemy divisions that the divisions knew of prior to the assault, and its list of the assault divisons agreed with those of the Corps divisions, except the Corps also listed the 5th Fara Division, not sentioned by a division. Respecting the statistical comparison, the 116th Panser Division was reported twice, by the 26th and 106th Divisions.

vare listed and discussed in this G-2 Pandic, 152400A-162400A, under that title. The appreisal did not suggest a well defined realization the encay's attack purpose. In fact, as observed in Capability \$1, the conception seemed to be that the attack was regarded as only a counterattack to restore lost postions. The capabilities declared:

- "(1) The energy can continue present counterattack to respore lost positions with the forces now on his front.
- "22) The enemy can further reinforce his front line strength with additional reserve forces and sount an offensive, either in the HABSCHEID-SCHMEE EIFEL area or the VIADEM-ESCHTERNACH area.
- *(3) The enemy can take up an active defense of his present positions or those which he may fall back on.

Capability (1) was considered as being currently implemented. Although then too early to predictly. (2), PW statements as listed in CB Notes favored it. NO. (3) was thought "likely to be implemented if he is stopped along present positions and his attack does not meet with success."

The overall scope and objectives of the German attack were not simusant discussed asong these three espatiliries. The seriousness of that definciency was emphasized by the a parent lack of consideration given to the capture Von Rundstedt Order of the Day proclaiming the Countereffensive. Insecuch as the

REF ID: A557761

#32. VIII rps. Critique.

Corps acquired this document as of 2120 and again by 2310A, surely is could have been taken into a count as part of the Corps opinion of the enemy's intentions and possibilities. Seemingly the Corps in G-2 comprehended the import of this and the accompanying documents, in that the filing notation within the G-2 fournal File asserted: These documents indicate the scope of the German offensive and its importance becomes apparent from the impressive list of high-ranking German General whose signatures appear thereon. Tet, the G-2 Periodic's statement of enemy espabilities did not reflect the import of this Order of the Day.

docus enth' revolutions was demonstrated by the fact that the faction of the in G-2's Periodic for the 16th included translations of most of them in its Order of Battle Motes, prefacing with the abve quoted statement about their indication of the offensive's scope. Strangely, however, the text of the most important of the documnes, the Von Bundstedt Order of the Day, wasnot printed in this G-2 Periodic. The emission was curious. I It was possessed by the Corps from two sources, from the V Corps and the 105th Division, the latter command its own division. Thy it of all the documents was the only one to be cuitted was odd. Surely if the G-2 had taken it seri usly, which he comingly did, he would have associated its significance with Capability #1, and not expressed the view in that capability that the attack was only a counteratteck to restore lost positions.

Commanding General's Criers. — Ostensibly the serie sness of the enemy attack impressed the Commanding General during the exeming of the 16th, imageness as he sent an urgent teletype at 2035A to the CG's of his divisions, the Ath, 28th, 106th, and 9th Armored:

"Troops will be withdrawn from present positions only repeat only if positions become completely unterable. In no event with enemy be allowed to penetrate west of line HOLZEZ-M-BETZ-LONKERSKEILER-VAGFELT-LITLER-SOCKHOLZ-LZLLINGER-MASSELER-BURDEN-COLMIR-MENISEMBURG-ERNZEN-RYLDWEILER-MECKER-MERTERT- which will be held at all cost.s Letter of Ynstructions follows."

Ch's Statement on Realizations --- Innemuch as official Corps records did not include statements as to when the Corps recognized the assault's true convertity and if the attack was a surprise, Combat Interviews provide the only information. During his account of an interview on 19 January 1945 with the CG, the combat historian, Capt. L. B. Clark, wrote: "Gen Middleton said he realized the full weight of the German offeneive by the morning of 17 Dec. ..."(VII,D) Captain Glark also interviewed Col. A. J. Reaves, Corps G-2, on the previous day, reporting: "Col. Reaves says that, frankly, the attack was a surprise to him. He

#33. Vil orps Critique.

referred me to the Twolfth Army Group G-2 pariodic of the week before which he said was extremely optimistic about the situation on the Gerps front. (VII, h) Ferhaps the Colonel referred to the Twelfth Army Group Weekly Intelligence Susmary, #18, for the wook ending 9 December 1944, issued 12 December, instead of a Periodic. The ISBN's text more nearly corresponds with his thought blan did descriptive data within periodics. (L-320(3))

I. Forwarding of Intelligence about the Energy.

Pro-Counteroffensive Period. --- The Corps divisions, the Atte 28th, and 100th acquired seven data, only four of which were transmitted by the divisions to the Corps. Of the five most significant of the seven, only two were forwarded, and they by message, not in daily 602 Periodics. Only two data instance of the seven were reported in divisional G-2 Periodics, and they might be characterized as the least significant.

The following two persgraphs simply summarize the formarding data. For details, pleaseses the Critique's section titled, Significant Evidence of German Offensive Intentions, which contains the full analysis of the forwarding of this pre-Counteroffensive data.

As sentioned above, the forwarding of data by the divisions to the Corps was slight. The 28th and 186th Division observed increasing energy vehicular traffic during the 12-15 December period, and this activity was described in detail in their G-2 Periodics. A civilian voman was taken by the 28th Division on 14 December, who described some German build-up in the energy some, and the Corps was notified promptly about her information, by message, but not in the Division's G-2 Periodic of the day. Four FM's were captured by the 4th and 106th Divisions on 15 December, who claimed to have heard statements or rusors of an impending German attack between 17-25 December. The 106th Division reported the data about one PM inmediately by message to the Corps, but not about the other PM, and the 4th Division reported neither of its two PM's data. Neither division reported the incidents in their G-2 Periodics for the day.

In contrast to the divisional lack of transmission of its acquired data to the VIII Corps, this command promptly reported its acquired data from the divisions. Of the seven data instances, the Corps reported promptly the four data it received from the divisions, an the G-2 Periodic for the day. The Corps, however, apparently did not report any of it by resease to the First Army. In the case of one data, the Corps distributed it was in its ISUM.

In Pecember. -- During the day the VIII Cor: a sequired from the V. Corps and mainly from its own 106th Divison the texts of certain eignificant documents, which could contribute to the G-2's opinion of the attack's seriousness. These documents were the Order of the Day, 16 December, of the Commander-in-Chief Nost(Feeldmarshall Von Rundstedt), the Griers of the Day by the Army Group B and the Fifth anser Army, a document describing the Operation GREIF, and several minor papers. Due to the importance of these documents, the sequence of events and the postmentary sydence concerning their transmission to the Corps is regioned.

The first of these accessions from the V Corps entit the 106th Division was from the form r. The text of the Von Rundstedt Order of the Day had been captured by the V Corps during the morning, and was disseminated in its Intelligence Survey for 1600, which enrived at the VIII Corps G-2 some four hours later, at 2120(1-540(8), 16 Dec., Med. #90) To be sure, the text of this valuable document was mingled with other and lesser informative ones. Nevertheless, the text of it was physically in the Corps G-2 hands well prior to his issuance of his G-2 Periodic for the day.

In the case of the 166th Division's documents, at 1320 the 424th Infantry, messaged the Division G-2 that "possible 162 VG Div and 116 Inf Div documents taken from officer indicate large scale atk s to be made by Germans. Translation following." (Div. Level, p. 106) Ten signtes later, at 2239x 1330, that message was repeated vertating califfing the last two words. (Ibid.) At 1420 Corps was managed by the 166th: "Fe have captured document with lots of infa. Would like you to come to CP after it." (Ibid.)

This document was not further identified with exectases by the 196th, nor were the time and manner of acquisition by the VIII Corps completely indicated. Nothrithstanding, the Corps received some documents, one of which was without court the one referred to by the 105th. Probably the 106th extually fastened the several documents together as single one. As of 2310, the Corps possessed translations of severa) key documents, emong them Orders announcing the Counteroffensive. At that how a group of documents were inserted into the Corps G-2 Journal File, including a translation of the Von Rundstedt Order of the Pay, of Irmy Group B's (Field Bershal Model), etc. (V,B) As their identifying data denoted, the were so pired by the O/B Term #44, G-2, 16th Division, and taken from a dead German officerof 6th Co., 156th R gt., 116th Ps Division. Their filling notation also s'ated: "These documents indicate the scope of the fermen offensive and its importance become apparent from the impressive list of high-ranking German Generals shope pignatures appear thereon. The GAMF operation appears to be a part of a large scale counter-offensive."

Thus, the 106th Divison forwarded to the Corps the highly significant captured documents which explained the new entray attack.