STANDARD FORM NO. 64

\* Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

REF ID:A4146

TO : Mr Friedman

-DATE: 16 gen 52

FROM : RAdm Wengly

SUBJECT:

These papers may serve to clim up some mensuales standing, that have annen about the angen of 2010/6 and certain parts Thereof.

lassified and approved for release by NSA on 10-20-2014 pursuant to E



24 Jan 52

MEMORANDUH FOR GENERAL CANINE

SUBJECT: AFSAC

1. In considering the troubles that appear to beset AFSA, much has been made over the alteration of the original concept of AFSAC which resulted from JCS 2010/6. It is alleged that whereas AFSAC (or rather its predecessor, ATCIAC) was originally established as purely an advisory body, JCS 2010/6 established it as a controlling authority over AFSA. In view of the interest which the Brownell Committee appears to be taking in AFSAC and USCIB, I believe it may be advisable to clarify some of the misunderstanding that has arisen.

2. As stated in J.C.S. 2010/6, the present charter of AFSAC was prepared by the members of AFSAC "pursuant to the directive by the Secretary of Defense in the Appendix to JCS 2010." After a review of the original statement of the status and functions of AFSAC, as set forth in the latter document, it was concluded that:

- a. The anomolus position of AFSAC as an advisory council to the JCS within AFSA should be clarified, and
- b. It was essential to create a more workable mechanism than JCS
  2010 provided.

3. In creating AFSA as a joint or unified organization, the Secretary of Defense had not designated an executive Agent as in the case of other unified operations or activities. Loreover, the establishing directive did not provide an alternative means of delegating with respect to matters under jurisdiction of the JCS, any authority to make decisions, even on minor matters, nor any authority to implement such decisions, as was normally vested in an Executive Agent. In order to prevent the Director from being hamstrung by these omiscions, it was concluded that arrangements should be made so that everything requiring authoritative decision at a level above DIRAFSA would not have to be referred to the JCS. The solution arrived at merely provided that when there was unanimous agreement in AFSAC on matters other than those of major policy the Director would be authorized to implement the agreement without reference to the JCS. This was considered to be reasonable since the Director normally had to obtain the implementing support from the Services, and the members of AFSAC were the individuals who would normally advise their respective Chiefs on such questions. In effect, this arrangement would merely permit obtaining consent for the necessary support at a subordinate level, thereby facilitating action. This concept is written into para 3a. of Enclosure "B" to JCS 2010/6.

## REF ID:A4146514

## TOP SECRET

TOP SECRET

24 Jan 52

SUBJECT: AFSAC

4. In connection with the foregoing, several provisions of the original directive were maintained for the Director's protection:

- a. He retained procedural decision on matters involving AFSAC;
- b. He remained Chairman of AFSAC with a vote which could not be overridden;
- c. He continued to have direct access to the JCS.

By virtue of these safeguards, it did not appear that the authority of DIRAFSA would be essentially affected. On the contrary, changes made were such as to improve his ability to exercise that authority.

J. N. VENGER Rear Admiral, U. S. Navy Deputy Director, Armed Forces Security Agency





THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF Washington 25. D.C.

SECRE

SN-956-49 24 Lay 1949

HELORANDUM FOR: Major General Stafford LeR, Irwin, USA Hajor General C.P. Cabell, USAF Rear Admiral T.B. Inglis, USN Rear Admiral E.E. Stone, USN Colonel Carter W. Cyarke, USA Colonel R.H. Lynn, USAF

> Subject: Formation of the Armed Forces Communications Intelligence Advisory Council

## Reference: JCS 2010

1. Pursuant to the enclosed directive by the Secretary of Defense, dated 20 Pay 1949, the Joint Chiefs of Staff have appointed you as members of an ad hoc committee for the purpose of submitting recommendations to them on:

> a. Whether the additional members, one from each of the Services, should be nominated as stated in paragraph 2 c of the enclosed directive, and if affirmative, nominations of such members, and

b. Nomination of the Director of the Armed Forces Communications Intelligence Advisory Council (AFCIAC).

2. For your information, it is the intention of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, after they act upon your recommendations, to then direct the AFCIAC to draw up and submit to them proposed terms of reference for the Director. It is therefore suggested that preliminary work on the directive be started at this time.

For the Joint Chiefs of Staff:

W. G. LALOR Captain, U.S. Navy, Secretary

C-0-2-Y

ADMIRAL STOLE stated that he was planning to have his office in Building 19, U.S. Navy Communication Station, 3001 Nebraska Avenue, N.M., Washington, 25, D.C. He added that he appreciated the letters from the Services which were being promulgated to their respective commands and bureaus announcing the establishment of AFGA.

REF ID:A4146514

All members agreed to the suggestion at least initially continguent upon USCIB approval.

TOP SECR

It was agreed that a working committee should be appointed to draft a charter for AFCIAC. The following nominations were made:

ATUIY	Meut, Colonel A. C. Peterson Lieut, Colonel C. H. Hisser
<u>INVY</u> :	Captain L. S., L. Goodwin Captain J. M. Ocker

USAF: Lieut. Colonel H. H. Towler

Captain J. H. Ocker was designated Chairman and directed to hold a meeting at an early date.

The meeting adjourned at 1530.

P. J. KARL HANILL D. JONES Secretarist, AFCIAC

TOP SECRET