24

USCIB: 29.9/4

27 September 1954

TOP SECRET - I	J. S. EYES ONLY		EO 3.3(h)(2) PL 86-36/50 USC 3605
MEMORANDUM FO	R THE MEMBERS OF USCIB:		
Subject:			
Reference:	USCIB 29.9/3 dated 17	September	1954.

- 1. The enclosure describes in general the action taken by the Executive Secretary subsequent to the 19th Meeting of USCIBEC whereat the reference and associated matters were considered without arriving at unanimous agreement as to the best course of action.
- 2. The CIA, NSA, and State Department Members have now agreed to the terms of reference set forth in the enclosure. It is recommended, therefore, that these terms of reference be examined and if not objectionable to any member, that the Department of State be authorized to inform Embassy \_\_\_\_\_ that the meeting requested by the \_\_\_\_\_ Intelligence Coordinator will be arranged as soon as practicable.
- 3. Owing to the desirability for an early answer to Embassy Rome, members are requested to have the office of the Executive Secretary informed of their views in this matter by telephone as soon as practicable.

Captain, S. S. Navy

Enclosure a/s.

THIS DOCUMENT AND ITS ENGLOSURE CONTAIN COMMUNICATIONS INTELLIGENCE—INFORMATION AND SHALL DE HANDLED ONLY IN CHANNELS EXPRESSLY PRO—VIDED FOR COMINT.

USCIB: 29.9/4

Declassified and approved for release by NSA on 01-26-2015, pursuant to E.O. 13526

EO 3:3(h)(2) PL 86-36/50 USC 3605

## INTERCEPT BASE RIGHTS IN ITALY

1. In considering a request from the
/for a personal briefing from high level qualified U.S. Intelli-
gence representatives on matters related to the U.S. request for
telligence operations, the Executive Committee agreed that it would be desir-
able in the interest of preserving the integrity of U.S. base rights negotia-
tions and exhausting all reasonable possibilities of obtaining desirable U.S.
to accommodate insofar as practicable. It is the
opinion of the majority of the Executive Committee that such an effort could be
made without undue jeonardy to the valuable relationship existing between the
CIA and the which the entire Committee believes
must be preserved, and that a military intelligence representative of flag rank
should be supplied by the NSA to go together with a representative of comparable
status, selected by the Director of Central Intelligence. and a representative
from the U.S. Embassy in to arrange a briefing of to explain
to him more fully the circumstances affecting the U.S. request for
2. The CIA member of the Executive Committee was not fully in accord with
the recommendation to call upon // owing to a feeling that such
the recommendation to call upon owing to a feeling that such representatives would not be able to make the commitments which the CIA member
feels are desired by The DCI has since indicated his belief
that we should not be averse to further explanations to under the
conditions described above, provided the terms of reference of such a dis-
cussion were to include constructive suggestions calculated to allay
Intelligence fears. The DCI felt that the terms of reference should be
approved in advance of making any commitment to hold such a meeting.
/ / / / / / <b>/ 1 / 1 / 1</b>
3. In view of the foregoing, the terms of reference set forth below are
suggested:
a. That arrangements be made through the Department of State for a
delegation, consisting of: a representative of the Department of State (acting
as host, and sponsoring the other two members); the Senior CIA Representative
in (representing the over-all intelligence interests of the U.S. Govern-
ment); and a representative of the National Security Agency (representing the
intelligence interest of the U.S. armed services in connection with the details
of the requirements and negotiations for base rights and functioning of the
on those bases), to brief at an early date.
b. That the delegation would make the following points clear to
in the manner indicated:
<u> </u>
(1) The U.S. feels that has To be covered by
misunderstood the purpose of these intercept the CIA Rep. with
bases and desires to emphasize that their the support of
purpose is a separate and distinct from the NSA Rep.
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
somewhat similar operations from
which the U.S. is now receiving the benefit.
1 With regard to COMINT Activities, the commitments indicated in these terms
of reference are not intended to dicked the provisions of paragraph & of Appendi
the warmen of how con the contract of the cont
"P", UKUSA, except insofar as the provision of raw traffic to the is

(2) The request for these sites is in no way intended to impugn the quantity or quality of the information currently being received from the through the CIA, or to reduce the collaboration now existing between those scrvices. On the contrary, it is hoped that the CIA collaboration can be expanded along several lines which the competent technical people can discuss at a later date as required.	To be covered by the CIA Rep. with the support of the NSA Rep. EO 3.3(h)(2) PL 86-36/50 USC 3605
primarily for military purposes, and for war insurance of operational intelligence in direct support of U.S. military operations in Europe. It is U.S. opinion that the bases requested will materially enhance the allied potential in war and that will understand that we cannot wait until war is upon us to establish these facilities. If indicates he fears that the facilities may be directed against he should be given reassurance that the U.S. will not	To be covered by the NSA Rep. with the support of the CIA Rep.
(4) In order to maintain the indispensable security of such U.S. military installations, it is obvious that these stations must be kept under U.S. control and guard. personnel would not have free access to these stations nor would there be any direct U.S. collaboration in their operations. Nevertheless, in order to avoid placing political capital into Communist hands, it is expected that visits of inspection and courtesy will be arranged under conditions which would not expose the detailed	To be covered by the NSA Rep. with the support of the CIA Rep.
	86-36/50 USC 3605
I If the question has not been raised before, it can be the delegation that at this point specific targets are to be covered by the U.S. which are not already being covered by the NSA Representative should be prepared to deal in this query, stressing the military aspects of the	will ask what services. The general terms with

c. The NSA Representative, if pressed by					
the question of quid pro quo can indicate that the expansion of					
collaboration can include the furnishing to the /// of (1) such					
as might be of assistance or value to					
(2) additional guidance which may serve to avoid duplication of					
effort. <sup>2</sup>					
erroru.~ / /// // // // // \					
d. If the U.S. representatives obtain the sense that					
's reaction is essentially negative, or that further pursuit of					
the matter will damage the existing cordial relationships of CIA					
with services, they are to withdraw, indicating					
that the matter will be discussed further in the U.S. and that					
s views will be presented to the pertinent authorities.					
2 i. In its past and present dealings with the Intelligence Services,					
the United States has not provided the   with any COMINT informa-					
tion beyond statements that the material was of high quality and that we					
desired its continuation. In all cases the statements have been based on					
material provided by the services. To offer the more					
than this is a distinct departure from previous United States practice.					
order firm to a dimension debat for a linear broatens outland and the force.					
ii. The bulk of the material is received from three sources:					
The					
delivery of Army material only is channeled through or					
representatives. Navy and Air Force material is delivered					
directly to a CIA Representative by an of each					
respective service. It is not believed on the basis of evidence avail-					
able to us that // is aware of the details of the types or					
the quantity of messages					
but it is thought that he is only generally aware that such an arrange-					
ment exists. He is definitely informed in full detail, however, of the					
material provided by the					
·					
iii. In view of the long-established policy of the United States in dealing					
with namely. that no COMINT information was to be provided					
by the U.S. to the may not request the products					
of the proposed be furnished to him; thus, the initial					
promise of expansion or collaboration should confirm the intention					
of the U.S. to continue the support and subsequent expansion of the					
Intelligence Services in the form of material assistance. Unless					
specifically requests or it appears that the					
will be needed to obtain s acquiescence to the					

TOP SECRET - U. S. EXPONLY

		and the second s		
iv. If, how		does		s suggested
that the del	Legation query him as	s to the type d	esired and if ap	propriate,
indicate the	<u>eir willingness to ac</u>	ccede to his wi	shes if such mat	erial can
be			following such a	
the delegati	ion will avoid being	placed in the	somewhat difficu	lt position
of having to	o define specific <u>all</u> y	7 for	//	which they
expect to be	e produced by the			T
•	-	/ -		
v. As a fir	nal negotiating manet	wer, that is,	if /	does not
himself make	∍ a	and if it a	ppears that the	proffer of
traffic will	L be of assistance in	n obtaining	s acquiescence	e to the
bases, it is	s suggested that the	delegation ind	icate to t	hat certain
traffic of i	interest to him and l	nis service mig	ht be provided by	y the
U.S. install	lations and that he t	then be queried	as to what type	s of
meterial wer	ild he of interest to	n him	<b>.</b>	